arXiv:1501.06076v2 [cs.IT] 30 Jul 2015

Fourier Analysis of MAC Polarization

Rajai Nasser, Emre Telatar
Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne,
Lausanne, Switzerland
Email: {rajai.nasser, emre.telatar}@epfl.ch

Abstract

A problem of MAC polar codes which are based on MAC polarization is that they do not always achieve the entire capacity region. The reason behind this problem is that MAC polarization sometimes induces a loss in the capacity region. This paper provides a single letter necessary and sufficient condition which characterizes all the MACs that do not lose any part of their capacity region by polarization.

I. INTRODUCTION

Polar coding is a low complexity coding technique invented by Arıkan which achieves the capacity of symmetric binary input channels [1]. The probability of error of polar codes was shown to be $o(2^{-N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}})$ where N is the block length [2]. The polar coding construction of Arıkan transforms a set of identical and independent channels to a set of "almost perfect" or "almost useless channels". This phenomenon is called *polarization*.

Polarizing transformations can also be constructed for non-binary input channels. Şaşoğlu et al. [3] generalized Arıkan's results to channels where the input alphabet size is prime. Park and Barg [4] showed that if the size of the input alphabet is of the form 2^r with $r > 1$, then using the algebraic structure $\mathbb{Z}_{2^r}$ in the polarizing transformation leads to a multilevel polarization phenomenon: while we do not always have polarization to "almost perfect" or "almost useless" channels, we always have polarization to channels which are easy to use for communication. Multilevel polarization can be used to construct capacity achieving polar codes.

Sahebi and Pradhan [5] showed that multilevel polarization also happens if any Abelian group operation on the input alphabet is used. This allows the construction of polar codes for arbitrary discrete memoryless channels (DMC) since any alphabet can be endowed with an Abelian group structure. Polar codes for arbitrary DMCs were also constructed by Şaşoğlu [6] by using a special quasigroup operation that ensures two-level polarization. The authors showed in [7] that all quasigroup operations are polarizing (in the general multilevel sense) and can be used to construct capacity-achieving polar codes for arbitrary DMCs [8].

In the context of multiple access channels (MAC), Şaşoğlu et al. showed that if W is a 2-user MAC where the two users have $\mathbb{F}_q$ as input alphabet, then using the addition modulo q for the two users leads to a MAC polarization phenomenon [9]. Abbe and Telatar showed that for binary input MACs with $m \geq 2$ users, using the XOR operation for each user is MAC-polarizing [10]. A problem of the MAC polar code construction in [9] and [10] is that they do not always achieve the entire capacity region. The reason behind this problem is that MAC polarization sometimes induces a loss in the capacity region.

A characterization of all the polarizing transformations that are based on binary operations — in both the single-user and the multiple access settings — can be found in [14] and [15]. Abelian group operations are a special case of the characterization in [15]. Therefore, using Abelian group operations for all users is MAC-polarizing.

This paper provides a necessary and sufficient condition which characterizes all the MACs that do not lose any part of their capacity region by polarization. The characterization that we provide works in the general setting where we have an arbitrary number of users and each user uses an arbitrary Abelian group operation on his input alphabet.

We will show that the reason why a given MAC W loses parts of its capacity region by polarization is because its transition probabilities are not "aligned", which makes W "incompatible" with polarization. The "alignment" condition will be expressed in terms of the Fourier transforms of the transition probabilities of W. The use of Fourier analysis in our study should not come as a surprise since the transition probabilities of W^- can be expressed as a convolution of the transition probabilities of W. This is what makes Fourier analysis useful for our study because it turns convolutions into multiplications, which are much easier to handle.

Note that there are alternate polar coding solutions which can achieve the entire capacity region without any loss. These techniques, which are not based on MAC polarization, are hybrid schemes combining single user channel polarization with other techniques. In [9], Şaşoğlu et al. used the "rate splitting/onion peeling" scheme of [12] and [13] to transform any point on the dominant face of an m-user MAC into a corner point of a $(2m-1)$-user MAC and then applied single user channel polarization to achieve this corner point. In [11], Arıkan used monotone chain rules to construct polar codes for the Slepian-Wolf problem, but the same technique can be used to achieve the entire capacity region of a MAC.

Although the alternate solutions of [9] and [11] can achieve the entire capacity region, they are more complicated than MAC polar codes (those that are based on MAC polarization). The alternate solution in [9] requires more encoding and decoding complexity because it adds $m-1$ virtual users. Arıkan's solution [11] does not add significant encoding and decoding complexity, but the code design is much more complicated than that of MAC polar codes. So if we are given a MAC W whose capacity region is preserved by polarization (i.e., MAC polar codes can achieve the entire capacity region of this MAC), then using MAC polar codes for this MAC is preferable to the alternate solutions. One practical implication of this study is that it allows a code designer to determine whether he can use the preferable MAC polar codes to achieve the capacity region.

In section II, we introduce the preliminaries of this paper: we describe the MAC polarization process and explain the discrete Fourier transforms on Abelian groups. In section III, we characterize the two-user MACs whose capacity regions are preserved by polarization. Section IV generalizes the results of section IV to MACs with arbitrary number of users.

II. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this paper, $G_1,\ldots,G_m$ are finite Abelian groups. We will use the addition symbol $+$ to denote the group operations of $G_1,\ldots,G_m$.

A. Polarization

Notation 1. *Let* $W: G_1\times\ldots\times G_m \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ *be an* m*-user MAC. We write* $(X_1,\ldots,X_m)\xrightarrow{W} Z$ *to denote the following:*

- $X_1,\ldots,X_m$ *are independent random variables uniformly distributed in* $G_1,\ldots,G_m$ *respectively.*
- Z *is the output of the MAC* W *when* $X_1,\ldots,X_m$ *are the inputs.*

Notation 2. *Fix* $S\subset\{1,\ldots,m\}$ *and let* $S=\{i_1,\ldots,i_{|S|}\}$. *Define* G_S *as*

$$G_S := \prod_{i\in S} G_i = G_{i_1}\times\ldots\times G_{i_{|S|}}.$$

For every $(x_1,\ldots,x_m)\in G_1\times\ldots\times G_m$, *we write* x_S *to denote* $(x_{i_1},\ldots,x_{i_{|S|}})$.

Notation 3. *Let* $W: G_1\times\ldots\times G_m\longrightarrow\mathcal{Z}$ *and* $(X_1,\ldots,X_m)\xrightarrow{W} Z$. *For every* $S\subset\{1,\ldots,m\}$, *we write* $I_S(W)$ *to denote* $I(X_S;ZX_{S^c})$. *If* $S=\{i\}$, *we denote* $I_{\{i\}}(W)$ *by* $I_i(W)$.

$I(W):=I_{\{1,\ldots,m\}}(W)=I(X_1,\ldots,X_m;Z)$ *is called* the symmetric sum-capacity *of* W.

Definition 1. *The* symmetric capacity region *of an* m*-user MAC* $W: G_1\times\ldots\times G_m\longrightarrow\mathcal{Z}$ *is given by:*

$$\mathcal{J}(W)=\Big\{(R_1,\ldots,R_m)\in\mathbb{R}^m:\ \forall S\subset\{1,\ldots,m\},\ \sum_{i\in S}R_i\leq I_S(W)\Big\}.$$

Notation 4. *$\{-,+\}^* := \bigcup_{n\geq 0}\{-,+\}^n$, where $\{-,+\}^0 = \{\emptyset\}$.*

Definition 2. *Let $W : G_1\times\ldots\times G_m \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}$. We define the m-user MACs $W^- : G_1\times\ldots\times G_m \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}^2$ and $W^+ : G_1\times\ldots\times G_m \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}^2\times G_1\times\ldots\times G_m$ as follows:*

$$W^-(z_1,z_2|u_{11},\ldots,u_{1m}) = \sum_{\substack{u_{21}\in G_1\\ \vdots\\ u_{2m}\in G_m}} \frac{1}{|G_1|\cdots|G_m|}W(z_1|u_{11}+u_{21},\ldots,u_{1m}+u_{2m}) \times W(z_2|u_{21},\ldots,u_{2m}),$$

and

$$W^+(z_1,z_2,u_{11},\ldots,u_{1m}|u_{21},\ldots,u_{2m}) = \frac{1}{|G_1|\cdots|G_m|}W(z_1|u_{11}+u_{21},\ldots,u_{1m}+u_{2m}) \times W(z_2|u_{21},\ldots,u_{2m}).$$

For every $s\in\{-,+\}^*$, we define the MAC W^s as follows:

$$W^s := \begin{cases} W & \text{if } s=\emptyset,\\ (\ldots((W^{s_1})^{s_2})\ldots)^{s_n} & \text{if } s=(s_1,\ldots,s_n).\end{cases}$$

Remark 1. *Let U_1^m and $U_1'^m$ be two independent random variables uniformly distributed in $G_1\times\ldots\times G_m$. Let $X_1^m = U_1^m + U_1'^m$ and $X_1'^m = U_1'^m$. Let $(X_1,\ldots,X_m)\xrightarrow{W} Z$ and $(X_1',\ldots,X_m')\xrightarrow{W} Z'$. We have:*

- *$I(W) = I(X_1^m;Z) = I(X_1'^m;Z')$.*
- *$I(W^-) = I(U_1^m;ZZ')$ and $I(W^+) = I(U_1'^m;ZZ'U_1^m)$.*

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}2I(W) &= I(X_1^m;Z) + I(X_1'^m;Z') = I(X_1^mX_1'^m;ZZ') = I(U_1^mU_1'^m;ZZ')\\ &= I(U_1^m;ZZ') + I(U_1'^m;ZZ'U_1^m) = I(W^-)+I(W^+).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the symmetric sum-capacity is preserved by polarization. On the other hand, I_S might not be preserved if $S\subsetneq\{1,\ldots,m\}$.

For example, consider the two-user MAC case. Let $W : G_1\times G_2\longrightarrow\mathcal{Z}$. Let (U_1,V_1) and (U_2,V_2) be two independent random pairs uniformly distributed in $G_1\times G_2$. Let $X_1 = U_1+U_2$, $X_2 = U_2$, $Y_1 = V_1+V_2$ and $Y_2 = V_2$. Let $(X_1,Y_1)\xrightarrow{W} Z_1$ and $(X_2,Y_2)\xrightarrow{W} Z_2$. We have:

- *$I_1(W^-) = I(U_1;Z_1Z_2V_1)$ and $I_1(W^+) = I(U_2;Z_1Z_2U_1V_1V_2)$.*
- *$I_2(W^-) = I(V_1;Z_1Z_2U_1)$ and $I_2(W^+) = I(V_2;Z_1Z_2U_1V_1U_2)$.*

On the other hand, we have:

- *$I_1(W) = I(X_1;Z_1Y_1) = I(X_2;Z_2Y_2)$.*
- *$I_2(W) = I(Y_1;Z_1X_1) = I(Y_2;Z_2X_2)$.*

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}2I_1(W) &= I(X_1;Z_1Y_1) + I(X_2;Z_2Y_2) = I(X_1X_2;Z_1Z_2Y_1Y_2) = I(U_1U_2;Z_1Z_2V_1V_2)\\ &= I(U_1;Z_1Z_2V_1V_2) + I(U_2;Z_1Z_2V_1V_2U_1) \geq I(U_1;Z_1Z_2V_1) + I(U_2;Z_1Z_2V_1V_2U_1) \quad (1)\\ &= I_1(W^-)+I_1(W^+),\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}2I_2(W) &= I(Y_1;Z_1X_1) + I(Y_2;Z_2X_2) = I(Y_1Y_2;Z_1Z_2X_1X_2) = I(V_1V_2;Z_1Z_2U_1U_2)\\ &= I(V_1;Z_1Z_2U_1U_2) + I(V_2;Z_1Z_2U_1U_2V_1) \geq I(V_1;Z_1Z_2U_1) + I(V_2;Z_1Z_2U_1U_2V_1)\\ &= I_2(W^-)+I_2(W^+).\end{aligned}$$

By induction on $n \geq 0$, we can show that:

$$\frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{s \in \{-,+\}^n} I_1(W^s) \leq I_1(W), \tag{2}$$

$$\frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{s \in \{-,+\}^n} I_2(W^s) \leq I_2(W), \tag{3}$$

$$\frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{s \in \{-,+\}^n} I(W^s) = I(W). \tag{4}$$

While (4) *shows that polarization preserves the symmetric sum-capacity,* (2) *and* (3) *show that polarization may result into a loss in the capacity region.*

Similarly, for the m-user case, we have

$$\frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{s \in \{-,+\}^n} I_S(W^s) \leq I_S(W), \ \forall S \subsetneq \{1, \ldots, m\}.$$

Definition 3. *Let $S \subset \{1, \ldots, m\}$. We say that polarization $*$-*preserves *I_S for W if for all $n \geq 0$ we have:*

$$\frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{s \in \{-,+\}^n} I_S(W^s) = I_S(W).$$

*If polarization $*$-preserves I_S for every $S \subset \{1, \ldots, m\}$, we say that polarization $*$-*preserves *the symmetric capacity region for W.*

Remark 2. *If polarization $*$-preserves the symmetric capacity region for W, then the entire symmetric capacity region can be achieved by polar codes.*

Section III provides a characterization of two-user MACs whose I_1 are $*$-preserved by polarization. Section IV generalizes the results of section III and provides a characterization of m-user MACs whose I_S are $*$-preserved by polarization, where $S \subsetneq \{1, \ldots, m\}$. This yields a complete characterization of the MACs with $*$-preservable symmetric capacity regions.

B. Discrete Fourier Transform on finite Abelian Groups

A tool that we are going to need for the analysis of the polarization process is the discrete Fourier transform (DFT) on finite Abelian groups. The DFT on finite Abelian groups can be defined based on the usual multidimensional DFT.

Definition 4. *(Multidimensional DFT) The m-dimensional discrete Fourier transform of a mapping $f : \mathbb{Z}_{N_1} \times \ldots \times \mathbb{Z}_{N_m} \to \mathbb{C}$ is the mapping $\hat{f} : \mathbb{Z}_{N_1} \times \ldots \times \mathbb{Z}_{N_m} \to \mathbb{C}$ defined as:*

$$\hat{f}(\hat{x}_1, \ldots, \hat{x}_m) = \sum_{x_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{N_1}, \ldots, x_m \in \mathbb{Z}_{N_m}} f(x_1, \ldots, x_m) e^{-j\frac{2\pi \hat{x}_1 x_1}{N_1} \ldots -j\frac{2\pi \hat{x}_m x_m}{N_m}}.$$

Notation 5. *For $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_m) \in \mathbb{Z}_{N_1} \times \ldots \times \mathbb{Z}_{N_m}$ and $\hat{x} = (\hat{x}_1, \ldots, \hat{x}_m) \in \mathbb{Z}_{N_1} \times \ldots \times \mathbb{Z}_{N_m}$, define $\langle \hat{x}, x \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$ as:*

$$\langle \hat{x}, x \rangle := \frac{\hat{x}_1 x_1}{N_1} + \ldots + \frac{\hat{x}_m x_m}{N_m} \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Using this notation, the DFT has a compact formula:

$$\hat{f}(\hat{x}) = \sum_{x \ \in \ \mathbb{Z}_{N_1} \times \ldots \times \mathbb{Z}_{N_m}} f(x) e^{-j2\pi \langle \hat{x}, x \rangle}.$$

It is known that every finite Abelian group is isomorphic to the direct product of cyclic groups, i.e., if $(G,+)$ is a finite Abelian group then there exist m integers $N_1,\ldots,N_m>0$ such that G is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{N_1}\times\ldots\times\mathbb{Z}_{N_m}$. This allows us to define a DFT on G using the multidimensional DFT on $\mathbb{Z}_{N_1}\times\ldots\times\mathbb{Z}_{N_m}$:

Definition 5. *Let $(G,+)$ be a finite Abelian group which is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{N_1}\times\ldots\times\mathbb{Z}_{N_m}$. Fix an isomorphism between G and $\mathbb{Z}_{N_1}\times\ldots\times\mathbb{Z}_{N_m}$. The discrete Fourier transform of a mapping $f:G\rightarrow\mathbb{C}$ is the mapping $\hat{f}:G\rightarrow\mathbb{C}$ defined as:*

$$\hat{f}(\hat{x})=\sum_{x\in G}f(x)e^{-j2\pi\langle\hat{x},x\rangle},$$

where $\langle\hat{x},x\rangle$ is computed by identifying $\hat{x}$ and x with their respective images in $\mathbb{Z}_{N_1}\times\ldots\times\mathbb{Z}_{N_m}$ by the fixed isomorphism.

In the rest of this section, we recall well known properties of DFT.

Proposition 1. *The inverse DFT is given by the following formula:*

$$f(x)=\frac{1}{|G|}\sum_{\hat{x}\in G}\hat{f}(\hat{x})e^{j2\pi\langle\hat{x},x\rangle},$$

where $\langle\hat{x},x\rangle$ is computed by identifying $\hat{x}$ and x with their respective images in $\mathbb{Z}_{N_1}\times\ldots\times\mathbb{Z}_{N_m}$ by the fixed isomorphism.

Remark 3. *The DFT on G as defined in this paper depends on the fixed isomorphism between G and $\mathbb{Z}_{N_1}\times\ldots\times\mathbb{Z}_{N_m}$. If the DFT is computed using a fixed isomorphism, the inverse DFT must be computed using the same isomorphism in order to have consistent computations.*

Note that it is possible to define the DFT on finite Abelian groups in a canonical way without the need to fix any isomorphism, but this requires the character theory of finite Abelian groups.

Definition 6. *The convolution of two mappings $f:G\rightarrow\mathbb{C}$ and $g:G\rightarrow\mathbb{C}$ is the mapping $f*g:G\rightarrow\mathbb{C}$ defined as:*

$$(f*g)(x)=\sum_{x'\in G}f(x')g(x-x').$$

We will sometimes write $f(x)*g(x)$ to denote $(f*g)(x)$.

Proposition 2. *Let $f:G\rightarrow\mathbb{C}$ and $g:G\rightarrow\mathbb{C}$ be two mappings. We have:*

- $\widehat{(f*g)}(\hat{x})=\hat{f}(\hat{x})\hat{g}(\hat{x})$.
- $\widehat{(f\cdot g)}(\hat{x})=\dfrac{1}{|G|}(\hat{f}*\hat{g})(\hat{x})$.
- *If $f_a:G\rightarrow\mathbb{C}$ is defined as $f_a(x)=f(x-a)$, then $\hat{f}_a(\hat{x})=\hat{f}(\hat{x})e^{-j2\pi\langle\hat{x},a\rangle}$.*
- *If $\tilde{f}:G\rightarrow\mathbb{C}$ is defined as $\tilde{f}(x)=f(-x)$, then $\hat{\tilde{f}}(\hat{x})=\hat{f}(\hat{x})^*$.*

C. Useful notation

This subsection introduces useful notation that will be used throughout this paper. The usefulness of this notation will be clear later. We added this subsection so that the reader can refer to it anytime.

Let $W:G_1\times G_2\longrightarrow\mathcal{Z}$ and let $(X,Y)\xrightarrow{W}Z$. Define the following:

- $\mathrm{YZ}(W):=\{(y,z)\in G_2\times\mathcal{Z}:\ \mathbb{P}_{Y,Z}(y,z)>0\}$.
- For every $(y,z)\in\mathrm{YZ}(W)$, define $p_{y,z,W}:G_1\rightarrow[0,1]$ as $p_{y,z,W}(x)=\mathbb{P}_{X|Y,Z}(x|y,z)$.

For every $z\in\mathcal{Z}$, define:

- $\mathrm{Y}^z(W)=\{y\in G_2:\ \mathbb{P}_{Y,Z}(y,z)>0\}$.
- $\Delta\mathrm{Y}^z(W):=\big\{y_1-y_2:\ y_1,y_2\in\mathrm{Y}^z(W)\big\}$.

- $\hat{\mathrm{X}}^z(W) := \big\{\hat{x} \in G_1 : \exists y \in \mathrm{Y}^z(W), \hat{p}_{y,z,W}(\hat{x}) \neq 0\big\}$.
- $\mathrm{D}^z(W) := \hat{\mathrm{X}}^z(W) \times \Delta\mathrm{Y}^z(W) = \big\{(\hat{x}, y) :\ \hat{x} \in \hat{\mathrm{X}}^z(W),\ y \in \Delta\mathrm{Y}^z(W)\big\}$.

Now define:

- $\hat{\mathrm{X}}\mathrm{Z}(W) := \big\{(\hat{x}, z) :\ z \in \mathcal{Z},\ \hat{x} \in \hat{\mathrm{X}}^z(W)\big\}$,
- $\mathrm{D}(W) := \bigcup\limits_{z\in\mathcal{Z}} \mathrm{D}^z(W)$.

D. Pseudo quadratic functions

Definition 7. *Let $D \subset G_1 \times G_2$. Define the following sets:*

- $H_1(D) = \{x :\ \exists y,\ (x, y) \in D\}$.
- *For every $x \in H_1(D)$, let $H_2^x(D) = \{y :\ (x, y) \in D\}$.*
- $H_2(D) = \{y :\ \exists x,\ (x, y) \in D\}$.
- *For every $y \in H_2(D)$, let $H_1^y(D) = \{x :\ (x, y) \in D\}$.*

We say that D is a pseudo quadratic domain *if:*

- *$H_1^y(D)$ is a subgroup of G_1 for every $y \in H_2(D)$.*
- *$H_2^x(D)$ is a subgroup of G_2 for every $x \in H_1(D)$.*

Definition 8. *Let $D \subset G_1 \times G_2$ and let $F : D \to \mathbb{T}$ be a mapping from D to $\mathbb{T} = \{\omega \in \mathbb{C} :\ |\omega| = 1\}$. We say that F is a* pseudo quadratic function *if:*

- *D is a pseudo quadratic domain.*
- *For every $y \in H_2(D)$, the mapping $x \to F(x, y)$ is a group homomorphism from $\big(H_1^y(D), +\big)$ to $(\mathbb{T}, \cdot)$.*
- *For every $x \in H_1(D)$, the mapping $y \to F(x, y)$ is a group homomorphism from $\big(H_2^x(D), +\big)$ to $(\mathbb{T}, \cdot)$.*

Definition 9. *We say that $W : G_1 \times G_2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ is* polarization compatible with respect to the first user *if there exists a pseudo quadratic function $F : D \to \mathbb{T}$ such that:*

- $\mathrm{D}(W) \subset D \subset G_1 \times G_2$.
- *For every $(\hat{x}, z) \in \hat{\mathrm{X}}\mathrm{Z}(W)$ and every $y_1, y_2 \in \mathrm{Y}^z(W)$, we have $\hat{p}_{y_1,z}(\hat{x}) = F(\hat{x}, y_1 - y_2) \cdot \hat{p}_{y_2,z}(\hat{x})$.*

III. TWO-USER MACS WITH $*$-PRESERVED I_1

In this section, we only consider two-user MACs $W : G_1 \times G_2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}$, where G_1 and G_2 are finite Abelian groups.

The following theorem is the main result of this paper:

Theorem 1. *polarization $*$-preserves I_1 for W if and only if W is polarization compatible with respect to the first user.*

Theorem 1 has the following implications:

- (Proposition 7) If $G_1 = G_2 = \mathbb{F}_q$ for a prime q and $(X, Y) \xrightarrow{W} Z$, then polarization $*$-preserves I_1 for W if and only if there exists $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$ such that $I(X + aY; Y|Z) = 0$.
- (Corollary 3) Polarization $*$-preserves the symmetric capacity region for the binary adder channel.
- (Proposition 8) If $|G_1|$ and $|G_2|$ are co-prime and $(X, Y) \xrightarrow{W} Z$, then polarization $*$-preserves I_1 for W if and only if $I(X; Y|Z) = 0$ (i.e., if and only if the dominant face of $\mathcal{J}(W)$ is a single point).

The rest of this section is dedicated to prove Theorem 1.

A. Preserved and $*^-$ Preserved

Definition 10. *Let $W : G_1 \times G_2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}$. We say that I_1 is* preserved *for W if and only if $I_1(W^-) + I_1(W^+) = 2I_1(W)$. We say that I_1 is $*^-$* preserved *for W if and only if I_1 is preserved for $W^{[n]^-}$ for every $n \geq 0$, where $[n]^- \in \{-,+\}^n$ is the sequence containing n minus signs (e.g., $[0]^- = \emptyset$, $[2]^- = (-,-)$).*

Lemma 1. *Polarization $*$-preserves I_1 for W if and only if I_1 is preserved for W^s for every $s \in \{-,+\}^*$. Similarly, polarization $*$-preserves I_1 for W if and only if I_1 is $*^-$ preserved for W^s for every $s \in \{-,+\}^*$.*

Proof: Polarization $*$-preserves I_1 for W if and only if

$$\begin{aligned}
\forall n \geq 0,\ I_1(W) = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{s \in \{-,+\}^n} I_1(W^s) \ &\Leftrightarrow\ \forall n \geq 0,\ \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{s \in \{-,+\}^n} I_1(W^s) = \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \sum_{s' \in \{-,+\}^{n+1}} I_1(W^{s'}) \\
&\Leftrightarrow\ \forall n \geq 0,\ \sum_{s \in \{-,+\}^n} 2I_1(W^s) = \sum_{s \in \{-,+\}^n} \left(I_1(W^{(s,-)}) + I_1(W^{(s,+)})\right) \\
&\Leftrightarrow\ \forall n \geq 0,\ \sum_{s \in \{-,+\}^n} \big(2I_1(W^s) - I_1(W^{(s,-)}) - I_1(W^{(s,+)})\big) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

But since $2I_1(W^s) - I_1(W^{(s,-)}) - I_1(W^{(s,+)}) \geq 0$ (see (1)), we conclude that polarization $*$-preserves I_1 for W if and only if $\forall n \geq 0, \forall s \in \{-,+\}^n,\ I_1(W^{(s,-)}) + I_1(W^{(s,+)}) = 2I_1(W^s)$. In other words, polarization $*$-preserves I_1 for W if and only if I_1 is preserved for W^s for every $s \in \{-,+\}^*$. Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\forall s \in \{-,+\}^*,\ I_1 \text{ is preserved for } W^s \ &\Leftrightarrow \forall s \in \{-,+\}^*, \forall n \geq 0,\ I_1 \text{ is preserved for } W^{(s,[n]^-)} \\
&\Leftrightarrow \forall s \in \{-,+\}^*, I_1 \text{ is } *^- \text{ preserved for } W^s.
\end{aligned}$$

■

B. Necessary condition

In the rest of this section, we consider a fixed two-user MAC $W : G_1 \times G_2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}$. For the sake of simplicity, we write $p_{y,z}(x)$ to denote $p_{y,z,W}(x)$.

According to (1), I_1 is preserved for W if and only if $I(U_1; V_2|Z_1Z_2V_1) = 0$, which means that for every $z_1, z_2 \in \mathcal{Z}$ and every $v_1, v_2 \in G_2$, if $\mathbb{P}_{V_2,Z_1,Z_2,V_1}(v_2, z_1, z_2, v_1) > 0$ then $\mathbb{P}_{U_1|V_2,Z_1,Z_2,V_1}(u_1|v_2, z_1, z_2, v_1)$ does not depend on v_2.

In order to study this condition, we should keep track of the values of $z_1, z_2 \in \mathcal{Z}$ and $v_1, v_2 \in G_2$ for which $\mathbb{P}_{V_2,Z_1,Z_2,V_1}(v_2, z_1, z_2, v_1) > 0$. But $\mathbb{P}_{V_2,Z_1,Z_2,V_1}(v_2, z_1, z_2, v_1) = \mathbb{P}_{Y_1,Z_1}(v_1 + v_2, z_1)\mathbb{P}_{Y_2,Z_2}(v_2, z_2)$, so it is sufficient to keep track of the pairs $(y, z) \in G_2 \times \mathcal{Z}$ satisfying $\mathbb{P}_{Y,Z}(y, z) > 0$. This is where $\mathrm{YZ}(W)$ and $\{\mathrm{Y}^z(W) :\ z \in \mathcal{Z}\}$ become useful.

The following lemma gives a characterization of two user MACs with preserved I_1 in terms of the Fourier transform of the distributions $p_{y,z}$.

Lemma 2. *I_1 is preserved for W if and only if for every $y_1, y_2, y_1', y_2' \in G_2$ and every $z_1, z_2 \in \mathcal{Z}$ satisfying*

- $y_1 - y_2 = y_1' - y_2'$,
- $y_1, y_1' \in \mathrm{Y}^{z_1}(W)$ *and* $y_2, y_2' \in \mathrm{Y}^{z_2}(W)$,

we have

$$\hat{p}_{y_1,z_1}(\hat{x}) \cdot \hat{p}_{y_2,z_2}(\hat{x})^* = \hat{p}_{y_1',z_1}(\hat{x}) \cdot \hat{p}_{y_2',z_2}(\hat{x})^*,\ \ \forall \hat{x} \in G_1.$$

Proof: Let $U_1, U_2, V_1, V_2, X_1, X_2, Y_1, Y_2, Z_1, Z_2$ be as in Remark 1. We know that I_1 is preserved for W if and only if $I(U_1; V_2|Z_1Z_2V_1) = 0$, which is equivalent to say that U_1 is independent of V_2 conditionally on (Z_1, Z_2, V_1).

In other words, for any fixed $(z_1,z_2,v_1)\in\mathcal{Z}\times\mathcal{Z}\times G_2$ satisfying $\mathbb{P}_{Z_1,Z_2,V_1}(z_1,z_2,v_1)>0$, if $v_2,v_2'\in G_2$ satisfy $\mathbb{P}_{V_2|Z_1,Z_2,V_1}(v_2|z_1,z_2,v_1)>0$ and $\mathbb{P}_{V_2|Z_1,Z_2,V_1}(v_2'|z_1,z_2,v_1)>0$, then we have

$$\forall u_1\in G_1,\ \mathbb{P}_{U_1|V_2,Z_1,Z_2,V_1}(u_1|v_2,z_1,z_2,v_1)=\mathbb{P}_{U_1|V_2,Z_1,Z_2,V_1}(u_1|v_2',z_1,z_2,v_1),$$

This condition is equivalent to say that for every $z_1,z_2\in\mathcal{Z}$ and every $v_1,v_2,v_2'\in G_2$ satisfying $\mathbb{P}_{Z_1,Z_2,Y_1,Y_2}(z_1,z_2,v_1+v_2,v_2)>0$ and $\mathbb{P}_{Z_1,Z_2,Y_1,Y_2}(z_1,z_2,v_1+v_2',v_2')>0$ we have

$$\forall u_1\in G_1, \mathbb{P}_{X_1-X_2|Z_1,Z_2,Y_1,Y_2}(u_1|z_1,z_2,v_1+v_2,v_2)=\mathbb{P}_{X_1-X_2|Z_1,Z_2,Y_1,Y_2}(u_1|z_1,z_2,v_1+v_2',v_2').$$

By denoting v_1+v_2, v_2, v_1+v_2' and v_2' as y_1,y_2,y_1' and y_2' respectively (so that $y_1-y_2=y_1'-y_2'=v_1$), we can deduce that I_1 is preserved for W if and only if for every $y_1,y_2,y_1',y_2'\in G_2$ and every $z_1,z_2\in\mathcal{Z}$ satisfying $y_1-y_2=y_1'-y_2'$, $\mathbb{P}_{Z_1,Z_2,Y_1,Y_2}(z_1,z_2,y_1,y_2)>0$ and $\mathbb{P}_{Z_1,Z_2,Y_1,Y_2}(z_1,z_2,y_1',y_2')>0$ (i.e., $y_1,y_1'\in \mathrm{Y}^{z_1}(W)$ and $y_2,y_2'\in\mathrm{Y}^{z_2}(W)$), we have

$$\forall u_1\in G_1, \mathbb{P}_{X_1-X_2|Z_1,Z_2,Y_1,Y_2}(u_1|z_1,z_2,y_1,y_2)=\mathbb{P}_{X_1-X_2|Z_1,Z_2,Y_1,Y_2}(u_1|z_1,z_2,y_1',y_2').$$

On the other hand, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}_{X_1-X_2|Z_1,Z_2,Y_1,Y_2}(u_1|z_1,z_2,y_1,y_2)&=\sum_{u_2\in G_1}\mathbb{P}_{X_1|Z_1,Y_1}(u_1+u_2|z_1,y_1)\mathbb{P}_{X_2|Z_2,Y_2}(u_2|z_2,y_2)\\&=\sum_{u_2\in G_1}p_{y_1,z_1}(u_1+u_2)p_{y_2,z_2}(u_2)=(p_{y_1,z_1}*\tilde{p}_{y_2,z_2})(u_1),\end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{p}_{y_2,z_2}(x)=p_{y_2,z_2}(-x)$. Similarly $\mathbb{P}_{X_1-X_2|Z_1,Z_2,Y_1,Y_2}(u_1|z_1,z_2,y_1',y_2')=(p_{y_1',z_1}*\tilde{p}_{y_2',z_2})(u_1)$. Therefore, for every $u_1\in G_1$, we have

$$(p_{y_1,z_1}*\tilde{p}_{y_2,z_2})(u_1)=(p_{y_1',z_1}*\tilde{p}_{y_2',z_2})(u_1),$$

which is equivalent to $\hat{p}_{y_1,z_1}(\hat{u}_1)\cdot\hat{p}_{y_2,z_2}(\hat{u}_1)^*=\hat{p}_{y_1',z_1}(\hat{u}_1)\cdot\hat{p}_{y_2',z_2}(\hat{u}_1)^*$ for every $\hat{u}_1\in G_1$. ■

Lemma 2 characterizes the MACs W for which I_1 is preserved. In the next few lemmas we characterize the MACs for which I_1 is $*^-$ preserved.

Lemma 3. *Suppose that I_1 is $*^-$ preserved for W. Fix $n>0$ and let $(U_i,V_i)_{0\leq i<2^n}$ be a sequence of random pairs which are independent and uniformly distributed in $G_1\times G_2$. Let*

$$F=\begin{bmatrix}1&1\\0&1\end{bmatrix}.$$

Define $X_0^{2^n-1}=F^{\otimes n}\cdot U_0^{2^n-1}$ and $Y_0^{2^n-1}=F^{\otimes n}\cdot V_0^{2^n-1}$, and for each $0\leq i<2^n$ let $(X_i,Y_i)\xrightarrow{W}Z_i$. We have the following:

- *The MAC $(U_0,V_0)\longrightarrow Z_0^{2^n-1}$ is equivalent to $W^{[n]^-}$.*
- *$I(U_0;V_1^{2^n-1}|Z_0^{2^n-1}V_0)=0$.*

Proof: We will show the lemma by induction on $n>0$. For $n=1$, the claim follows from Remark 1 and from the fact that I_1 is preserved for W if and only if $I(U_0;V_1|Z_0Z_1V_0)=0$ (see (1)).

Now let $n>1$ and suppose that the claim is true for $n-1$. Let $N=2^{n-1}$. We have $X_0^{2^n-1}=F^{\otimes n}\cdot U_0^{2^n-1}$ and $Y_0^{2^n-1}=F^{\otimes n}\cdot V_0^{2^n-1}$, i.e., $X_0^{2N-1}=F^{\otimes n}\cdot U_0^{2N-1}$ and $Y_0^{2N-1}=F^{\otimes n}\cdot V_0^{2N-1}$. Therefore, we have:

- $X_0^{N-1}=F^{\otimes(n-1)}\cdot(U_0^{N-1}+U_N^{2N-1})$ and $X_N^{2N-1}=F^{\otimes(n-1)}\cdot U_N^{2N-1}$.
- $Y_0^{N-1}=F^{\otimes(n-1)}\cdot(V_0^{N-1}+V_N^{2N-1})$ and $Y_N^{2N-1}=F^{\otimes(n-1)}\cdot V_N^{2N-1}$.

This means that $(U_0^{N-1}+U_N^{2N-1},V_0^{N-1}+V_N^{2N-1},Z_0^{N-1})$ and $(U_N^{2N-1},V_N^{2N-1},Z_N^{2N-1})$ satisfy the conditions of the induction hypothesis. Therefore,

- $I(U_0+U_N;V_1^{N-1}+V_{N+1}^{2N-1}|Z_0^{N-1},V_0+V_N)=0$.

- $I(U_N; V_{N+1}^{2N-1}|Z_N^{2N-1}, V_N) = 0$.

Moreover, since $(U_0^{N-1} + U_N^{2N-1}, V_0^{N-1} + V_N^{2N-1}, Z_0^{N-1})$ is independent of $(U_N^{2N-1}, V_N^{2N-1}, Z_N^{2N-1})$, we can combine the above two equations to get:

$$I(U_0 + U_N, U_N; V_1^{N-1} + V_{N+1}^{2N-1}, V_{N+1}^{2N-1}|Z_0^{2N-1}, V_0 + V_N, V_N) = 0,$$

which can be rewritten as

$$I(U_0U_N; V_1^{N-1}V_{N+1}^{2N-1}|Z_0^{2N-1}V_0V_N) = 0. \tag{5}$$

On the other hand, it also follows from the induction hypothesis that:

- The MAC $(U_0 + U_N, V_0 + V_N) \longrightarrow Z_0^{N-1}$ is equivalent to $W^{[n-1]^-}$.
- The MAC $(U_N, V_N) \longrightarrow Z_N^{2N-1}$ is equivalent to $W^{[n-1]^-}$.

This implies that the MAC $(U_0, V_0) \longrightarrow Z_0^{2N-1}$ is equivalent to $W^{[n]^-}$. Now since I_1 is $*^-$ preserved for W, I_1 must be preserved for $W^{[n-1]^-}$. Therefore,

$$I(U_0; V_N|Z_0^{2N-1}V_0) = I(U_0; V_N|Z_0^{N-1}Z_N^{2N-1}V_0) \overset{(a)}{=} 0, \tag{6}$$

where (a) follows from (1). We conclude that:

$$\begin{aligned} I(U_0; V_1^{2N-1}|Z_0^{2N-1}V_0) &= I(U_0; V_N|Z_0^{2N-1}V_0) + I(U_0; V_1^{N-1}V_{N+1}^{2N-1}|Z_0^{2N-1}V_0V_N) \\ &\leq I(U_0; V_N|Z_0^{2N-1}V_0) + I(U_0U_N; V_1^{N-1}V_{N+1}^{2N-1}|Z_0^{2N-1}V_0V_N) \overset{(b)}{=} 0, \end{aligned}$$

where (b) follows from (5) and (6). ■

Lemma 4. *For every $n > 0$, if $X_0^{2^n-1} = F^{\otimes n}U_0^{2^n-1}$, then $U_0 = \sum_{i=0}^{2^n-1}(-1)^{|i|_b}X_i$, where $|i|_b$ is the number of ones in the binary expansion of i.*

Proof: We will show the lemma by induction on $n > 0$. For $n = 1$, the fact that $X_0^1 = F^{\otimes 1}\cdot U_0^1 = F\cdot U_0^1$ implies that $X_0 = U_0 + U_1$ and $X_1 = U_1$. Therefore $U_0 = X_0 - X_1 = \sum_{i=0}^{1}(-1)^{|i|_b}X_i$.

Now let $n > 1$ and suppose that the claim is true for $n - 1$. Let $N = 2^{n-1}$. The fact that $X_0^{2N-1} = F^{\otimes n} \cdot U_0^{2N-1}$ implies that:

- $X_0^{N-1} = F^{\otimes(n-1)} \cdot (U_0^{N-1} + U_N^{2N-1})$.
- $X_N^{2N-1} = F^{\otimes(n-1)} \cdot U_N^{2N-1}$.

We can apply the induction hypothesis to get:

- $U_0 + U_N = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1}(-1)^{|i|_b}X_i$.
- $U_N = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1}(-1)^{|i|_b}X_{i+N}$.

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} U_0 &= \sum_{i=0}^{N-1}(-1)^{|i|_b}X_i - \sum_{i=0}^{N-1}(-1)^{|i|_b}X_{i+N} = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1}(-1)^{|i|_b}X_i + \sum_{i=0}^{N-1}(-1)^{1+|i|_b}X_{i+N} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{N-1}(-1)^{|i|_b}X_i + \sum_{i=N}^{2N-1}(-1)^{1+|i-N|_b}X_i \overset{(a)}{=} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1}(-1)^{|i|_b}X_i + \sum_{i=N}^{2N-1}(-1)^{|i|_b}X_i \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{2N-1}(-1)^{|i|_b}X_i, \end{aligned}$$

where (a) follows from the fact that for $2^n = N \leq i < 2N = 2^{n+1}$, we have $|i-N|_b = |i-2^n|_b = |i|_b - 1$. ■

Lemma 5. *If I_1 is $*^-$ preserved for W, then for every $n > 0$, every $y_1, \ldots, y_{2^n}, y'_1, \ldots, y'_{2^n} \in G_2$ and every $z_1, \ldots, z_{2^n} \in \mathcal{Z}$ satisfying*

- $\sum_{i=1}^{2^n} y_i = \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} y'_i$,
- $y_1 \in \mathrm{Y}^{z_1}(W), \ldots, y_{2^n} \in \mathrm{Y}^{z_{2^n}}(W)$, *and*
- $y'_1 \in \mathrm{Y}^{z_1}(W), \ldots y'_{2^n} \in \mathrm{Y}^{z_{2^n}}(W)$,

we have

$$\prod_{i=1}^{2^n} \hat{p}_{y_i,z_i}(\hat{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^{2^n} \hat{p}_{y'_i,z_i}(\hat{x}), \quad \forall \hat{x} \in G_1.$$

Proof: Fix $\hat{x} \in G_1$. If $\hat{p}_{y,z}(\hat{x}) = 0$ for every $(y,z) \in \mathrm{YZ}(W)$, then we clearly have

$$\prod_{i=1}^{2^n} \hat{p}_{y_i,z_i}(\hat{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^{2^n} \hat{p}_{y'_i,z_i}(\hat{x}).$$

Therefore, we can assume without loss of generality that there exists $(y,z) \in \mathrm{YZ}(W)$ which satisfies $\hat{p}_{y,z}(\hat{x}) \neq 0$.

Let $U_0^{2^{n+1}-1}$, $V_0^{2^{n+1}-1}$, $X_0^{2^{n+1}-1}$, $Y_0^{2^{n+1}-1}$ and $Z_0^{2^{n+1}-1}$ be as in Lemma 3 and let $N = 2^{n+1}$ so that we have

$$I(U_0; V_1^{N-1} | Z_0^{N-1} V_0) = 0. \tag{7}$$

Since $X_0^{N-1} = F^{\otimes(n+1)} \cdot U_0^{N-1}$ and $Y_0^{N-1} = F^{\otimes(n+1)} \cdot V_0^{N-1}$, Lemma 4 implies that

$$U_0 = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} (-1)^{|i|_b} X_i \text{ and } V_0 = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} (-1)^{|i|_b} Y_i. \tag{8}$$

Notice that $\left|\left\{0 \leq i < N = 2^{n+1} : |i|_b \equiv 0 \bmod 2\right\}\right| = \left|\left\{0 \leq i < N = 2^{n+1} : |i|_b \equiv 1 \bmod 2\right\}\right| = 2^n$. Let $k_1, \ldots, k_{2^n}$ be the elements of $\left\{0 \leq i < N : |i|_b \equiv 0 \bmod 2\right\}$ and let $l_1, \ldots, l_{2^n}$ be the elements of $\left\{0 \leq i < N : |i|_b \equiv 1 \bmod 2\right\}$.

Define $(\tilde{y}_i, \tilde{y}'_i, \tilde{z}_i)_{0 \leq i < N}$ as follows:

- For every $1 \leq i \leq 2^n$, let $\tilde{y}_{k_i} = y_i$, $\tilde{y}'_{k_i} = y'_i$ and $\tilde{z}_{k_i} = z_i$ (where y_i, y'_i, z_i are given in the hypothesis of the lemma).
- For every $1 \leq i \leq 2^n$, let $\tilde{y}_{l_i} = \tilde{y}'_{l_i} = y$ and $\tilde{z}_{l_i} = z$ (where (y,z) is any fixed pair in $\mathrm{YZ}(W)$ satisfying $\hat{p}_{y,z}(\hat{x}) \neq 0$).

Now let $\tilde{v}_0^{N-1} = (F^{\otimes(n+1)})^{-1} \cdot \tilde{y}_0^{N-1}$ and $\tilde{v}_0'^{N-1} = (F^{\otimes(n+1)})^{-1} \cdot \tilde{y}_0'^{N-1}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{v}_0 &\overset{(a)}{=} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} (-1)^{|i|_b} \tilde{y}_i = \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} (\tilde{y}_{k_i} - \tilde{y}_{l_i}) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{2^n} y_i\right) - 2^n y \\ &\overset{(b)}{=} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{2^n} y'_i\right) - 2^n y = \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} (\tilde{y}'_{k_i} - \tilde{y}'_{l_i}) = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} (-1)^{|i|_b} \tilde{y}'_i \overset{(c)}{=} \tilde{v}'_0,\end{aligned}$$

where (a) and (c) follow from Lemma 4. (b) follows from the fact that $\sum_{i=1}^{2^n} y_i = \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} y'_i$. Therefore,

$$(\tilde{v}_0, \tilde{z}_0^{N-1}) = (\tilde{v}'_0, \tilde{z}_0^{N-1}). \tag{9}$$

On the other hand, since $\tilde{y}_i \in \mathrm{Y}^{\tilde{z}_i}(W)$ for every $0 \leq i < N$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_{V_0,V_1^{N-1},Z_0^{N-1}}(\tilde{v}_0, \tilde{v}_1^{N-1}, \tilde{z}_0^{N-1}) &= \mathbb{P}_{V_0^{N-1},Z_0^{N-1}}(\tilde{v}_0^{N-1}, \tilde{z}_0^{N-1}) \\ &= \mathbb{P}_{Y_0^{N-1},Z_0^{N-1}}(\tilde{y}_0^{N-1}, \tilde{z}_0^{N-1}) > 0. \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Similarly, since $\tilde{y}'_i \in \mathrm{Y}^{\tilde{z}_i}(W)$ for every $0 \leq i < N$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_{V_0,V_1^{N-1},Z_0^{N-1}}(\tilde{v}'_0, \tilde{v}_1'^{N-1}, \tilde{z}_0^{N-1}) &= \mathbb{P}_{V_0^{N-1},Z_0^{N-1}}\big(\tilde{v}_0'^{N-1}, \tilde{z}_0^{N-1}\big) \\ &= \mathbb{P}_{Y_0^{N-1},Z_0^{N-1}}(\tilde{y}_0'^{N-1}, \tilde{z}_0^{N-1}) > 0. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

(7) implies that conditionally on (V_0, Z_0^{N-1}), U_0 is independent of V_1^{N-1}. (9), (10) and (11) now imply that for every $u_0 \in G_1$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{P}_{U_0|V_1^{N-1},V_0,Z_0^{N-1}}(u_0|\tilde{v}_1^{N-1}, \tilde{v}_0, \tilde{z}_0^{N-1}) = \mathbb{P}_{U_0|V_1^{N-1},V_0,Z_0^{N-1}}(u_0|\tilde{v}_1'^{N-1}, \tilde{v}'_0, \tilde{z}_0^{N-1}) \\ &\quad\Leftrightarrow\quad \mathbb{P}_{U_0|V_0^{N-1},Z_0^{N-1}}(u_0|\tilde{v}_0^{N-1}, \tilde{z}_0^{N-1}) = \mathbb{P}_{U_0|V_0^{N-1},Z_0^{N-1}}(u_0|\tilde{v}_0'^{N-1}, \tilde{z}_0^{N-1}) \\ &\quad\Leftrightarrow\quad \mathbb{P}_{U_0|Y_0^{N-1},Z_0^{N-1}}(u_0|\tilde{y}_0^{N-1}, \tilde{z}_0^{N-1}) = \mathbb{P}_{U_0|Y_0^{N-1},Z_0^{N-1}}(u_0|\tilde{y}_0'^{N-1}, \tilde{z}_0^{N-1}) \\ &\quad\overset{(a)}{\Leftrightarrow}\quad \sum_{\substack{\tilde{x}_0^{N-1}\in G_1^N: \\ \sum_{i=0}^{N-1}(-1)^{|i|_b}\tilde{x}_i=u_0}} \prod_{i=0}^{N-1} \mathbb{P}_{X_i|Y_i,Z_1}(\tilde{x}_i|\tilde{y}_i, \tilde{z}_i) = \sum_{\substack{\tilde{x}_0^{N-1}\in G_1^N: \\ \sum_{i=0}^{N-1}(-1)^{|i|_b}\tilde{x}_i=u_0}} \prod_{i=0}^{N-1} \mathbb{P}_{X_i|Y_i,Z_1}(\tilde{x}_i|\tilde{y}'_i, \tilde{z}_i) \\ &\quad\overset{(b)}{\Leftrightarrow}\quad \sum_{\substack{x_1^N\in G_1^N: \\ \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} x_i-\sum_{i=2^n+1}^{N} x_i=u_0}} \prod_{i=1}^{2^n} p_{y_i,z_i}(x_i) \prod_{i=2^n+1}^{N} p_{y,z}(x_i) \\ &\qquad\qquad = \sum_{\substack{x_1^N\in G_1^N: \\ \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} x_i-\sum_{i=2^n+1}^{N} x_i=u_0}} \prod_{i=1}^{2^n} p_{y'_i,z_i}(x_i) \prod_{i=2^n+1}^{N} p_{y,z}(x_i), \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

where (a) follows from (8) and (b) follows from the following change of variables:

$$x_i = \begin{cases} \tilde{x}_{k_i} & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq 2^n, \\ \tilde{x}_{l_{i-2^n}} & \text{if } 2^n \leq i \leq 2^{n+1} = N. \end{cases}$$

Now notice that the left hand side of (12) is the convolution of $(p_{y_i,z_i})_{1\leq i\leq 2^n}$ with 2^n copies of $\tilde{p}_{y,z}$ (where $\tilde{p}_{y,z}(x) = p_{y,z}(-x)$). Likewise, the right hand side of (12) is the convolution of $(p_{y'_i,z_i})_{1\leq i\leq 2^n}$ with 2^n copies of $\tilde{p}_{y,z}$. By applying the DFT on (12), we get:

$$\prod_{i=1}^{2^n} \hat{p}_{y_i,z_i}(\hat{u}_1) \prod_{i=2^n+1}^{N} \hat{p}_{y,z}(\hat{u}_1)^* = \prod_{i=1}^{2^n} \hat{p}_{y'_i,z_i}(\hat{u}_1) \prod_{i=2^n+1}^{N} \hat{p}_{y,z}(\hat{u}_1)^*, \ \ \forall \hat{u}_1 \in G_1.$$

In particular,

$$\prod_{i=1}^{2^n} \hat{p}_{y_i,z_i}(\hat{x}) \prod_{i=2^n+1}^{N} \hat{p}_{y,z}(\hat{x})^* = \prod_{i=1}^{2^n} \hat{p}_{y'_i,z_i}(\hat{x}) \prod_{i=2^n+1}^{N} \hat{p}_{y,z}(\hat{x})^*.$$

Now since $\hat{p}_{y,z}(\hat{x}) \neq 0$, we conclude that

$$\prod_{i=1}^{2^n} \hat{p}_{y_i,z_i}(\hat{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^{2^n} \hat{p}_{y'_i,z_i}(\hat{x}).$$

■

Lemma 6. *If I_1 is $*^-$ preserved for W then for every $(\hat{x}, z) \in \widehat{\mathrm{XZ}}(W)$, we have:*

- $\hat{p}_{y,z}(\hat{x}) \neq 0$ *for all* $y \in \mathrm{Y}^z(W)$.
- $\dfrac{\hat{p}_{y,z}(\hat{x})}{\hat{p}_{y',z}(\hat{x})} \in \mathbb{T}$ *for every* $y, y' \in \mathrm{Y}^z(W)$, *where* $\mathbb{T} = \{\omega \in \mathbb{C} : \ |\omega| = 1\}$.

Proof: If $\hat{x} \in \hat{\mathrm{X}}^z(W)$, there exists $y' \in \mathrm{Y}^z(W)$ satisfying $\hat{p}_{y',z}(\hat{x}) \neq 0$. Fix $y \in \mathrm{Y}^z(W)$ and let $a > 0$ be the order of $y - y'$ in G_2 (i.e., $a(y - y') = 0_{G_2}$, where 0_{G_2} is the neutral element of G_2). Let $n > 0$ be such that $a < 2^n$ and define the two sequences $(y_i)_{1 \le i \le 2^n}$ and $(y'_i)_{1 \le i \le 2^n}$ as follows:

- If $1 \le i \le a$, $y_i = y$ and $y'_i = y'$.
- If $a < i \le n$, $y_i = y'_i = y'$.

Since $a(y - y') = 0_{G_2}$, we have $ay = ay'$ and so $\displaystyle\sum_{i=1}^{2^n} y_i = ay + (2^n - a)y' = ay' + (2^n - a)y' = \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} y'_i$. By applying Lemma 5, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\big(\hat{p}_{y,z}(\hat{x})\big)^a \big(\hat{p}_{y',z}(\hat{x})\big)^{2^n - a} &= \prod_{i=1}^{2^n} \hat{p}_{y_i,z}(\hat{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^{2^n} \hat{p}_{y'_i,z}(\hat{x}) \\ &= \big(\hat{p}_{y',z}(\hat{x})\big)^{2^n} \neq 0.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\hat{p}_{y,z}(\hat{x}) \neq 0$. Moreover,

$$\left(\frac{\hat{p}_{y,z}(\hat{x})}{\hat{p}_{y',z}(\hat{x})}\right)^a = 1,$$

which means that $\dfrac{\hat{p}_{y,z}(\hat{x})}{\hat{p}_{y',z}(\hat{x})}$ is a root of unity. Hence $\dfrac{\hat{p}_{y,z}(\hat{x})}{\hat{p}_{y',z}(\hat{x})} \in \mathbb{T}$. ■

Lemma 7. *If I_1 is $*^-$ preserved for W, there exists a unique mapping $\hat{f}_W : \mathrm{D}(W) \to \mathbb{T}$ such that for every $(\hat{x}, z) \in \widehat{\mathrm{XZ}}(W)$ and every $y_1, y_2 \in \mathrm{Y}^z(W)$, we have*

$$\hat{p}_{y_1,z}(\hat{x}) = \hat{f}_W(\hat{x}, y_1 - y_2) \cdot \hat{p}_{y_2,z}(\hat{x}).$$

Proof: Let $(\hat{x}, y) \in \mathrm{D}(W)$. Let z be such that $(\hat{x}, y) \in \mathrm{D}^z(W) = \hat{\mathrm{X}}^z(W) \times \Delta\mathrm{Y}^z(W)$, and let $y_1, y_2 \in \mathrm{Y}^z(W)$ be such that $y_1 - y_2 = y$. We want to show that $\dfrac{\hat{p}_{y_1,z}(\hat{x})}{\hat{p}_{y_2,z}(\hat{x})}$ depends only on $(\hat{x}, y) = (\hat{x}, y_1 - y_2)$ and that $\dfrac{\hat{p}_{y_1,z}(\hat{x})}{\hat{p}_{y_2,z}(\hat{x})} \in \mathbb{T}$.

Suppose there exist $z' \in \mathcal{Z}$ and $y'_1, y'_2 \in \mathrm{Y}^{z'}(W)$ which satisfy $\hat{x} \in \hat{\mathrm{X}}^{z'}(W)$ and $y'_1 - y'_2 = y = y_1 - y_2$. We need to show that $\dfrac{\hat{p}_{y_1,z}(\hat{x})}{\hat{p}_{y_2,z}(\hat{x})} = \dfrac{\hat{p}_{y'_1,z'}(\hat{x})}{\hat{p}_{y'_2,z'}(\hat{x})} \in \mathbb{T}$.

From Lemma 6 we have $p_{y_1,z}(\hat{x}) \neq 0$, $p_{y_2,z}(\hat{x}) \neq 0$, $p_{y'_1,z'}(\hat{x}) \neq 0$ and $p_{y'_2,z'}(\hat{x}) \neq 0$. On the other hand, since $y_1 + y'_2 = y_2 + y'_1$, Lemma 5 shows that $p_{y_1,z}(\hat{x}) \cdot p_{y'_2,z'}(\hat{x}) = p_{y_2,z}(\hat{x}) \cdot p_{y'_1,z'}(\hat{x})$. Therefore,

$$\frac{p_{y_1,z}(\hat{x})}{p_{y_2,z}(\hat{x})} = \frac{p_{y'_1,z'}(\hat{x})}{p_{y'_2,z'}(\hat{x})} \overset{(a)}{\in} \mathbb{T},$$

where (a) follows from Lemma 6. This shows that the value of $\dfrac{p_{y_1,z}(\hat{x})}{p_{y_2,z}(\hat{x})} \in \mathbb{T}$ depends only on $(\hat{x}, y)$ and does not depend on the choice of z, y_1, y_2. We conclude that there exists a unique $\hat{f}_W(\hat{x}, y) \in \mathbb{T}$ such that for every $z \in \mathcal{Z}$ and every $y_1, y_2 \in \mathrm{Y}^z(W)$ satisfying $\hat{x} \in \hat{\mathrm{X}}^z(W)$ and $y_1 - y_2 = y$, we have $\hat{p}_{y_1,z}(\hat{x}) = \hat{f}_W(\hat{x}, y) \cdot \hat{p}_{y_2,z}(\hat{x})$. ■

In the next few lemmas, we will show that if I_1 is $*^-$-preserved for W, then $D(W) \subset D(W^-)$ and $\hat{f}_{W^-} : D(W^-) \to \mathbb{T}$ is an extension of $\hat{f}_W : D(W) \to \mathbb{T}$, i.e., $\hat{f}_{W^-}$ and $\hat{f}_W$ agree on $D(W)$.

Lemma 8. *For every MAC W, we have:*

$$\mathrm{Y}^{(z_1,z_2)}(W^-) = \mathrm{Y}^{z_1}(W) - \mathrm{Y}^{z_2}(W) = \big\{y_1 - y_2 :\ y_1 \in \mathrm{Y}^{z_1}(W), y_2 \in \mathrm{Y}^{z_2}(W)\big\}.$$

Proof: Let $U_1, U_2, V_1, V_2, X_1, X_2, Y_1, Y_2, Z_1, Z_2$ be as in Remark 1. For every $v_1 \in G_2$ and every $z_1, z_2 \in \mathcal{Z}$, we have:

$$\mathbb{P}_{V_1,Z_1,Z_2}(v_1, z_1, z_2) = \sum_{\substack{y_1,y_2 \in G_2:\\ v_1 = y_1 - y_2}} \mathbb{P}_{Y_1,Y_2,Z_1,Z_2}(y_1, y_2, z_1, z_2) = \sum_{\substack{y_1,y_2 \in G_2:\\ v_1 = y_1 - y_2}} \mathbb{P}_{Y_1,Z_1}(y_1, z_1)\mathbb{P}_{Y_2,Z_2}(y_2, z_2).$$

Therefore, $v_1 \in \mathrm{Y}^{(z_1,z_2)}(W^-)$ if and only if there exist $y_1, y_2 \in G_2$ such that $y_1 \in \mathrm{Y}^{z_1}(W)$, $y_2 \in \mathrm{Y}^{z_2}(W)$ and $v_1 = y_1 - y_2$. Hence,

$$\mathrm{Y}^{(z_1,z_2)}(W^-) = \big\{y_1 - y_2 :\ y_1 \in \mathrm{Y}^{z_1}(W), y_2 \in \mathrm{Y}^{z_2}(W)\big\}.$$

■

Lemma 9. *For every $z_1, z_2 \in \mathcal{Z}$, every $v_1 \in \mathrm{Y}^{(z_1,z_2)}(W^-)$ and every $\hat{u}_1 \in G_1$, we have:*

$$\hat{p}_{v_1,z_1,z_2,W^-}(\hat{u}_1) = \sum_{\substack{v_2 \in \mathrm{Y}^{z_2}(W):\\ v_1+v_2 \in \mathrm{Y}^{z_1}(W)}} \frac{\mathbb{P}_{Y_1|Z_1}(v_1+v_2|z_1)\mathbb{P}_{Y_2|Z_2}(v_2|z_2)}{\mathbb{P}_{V_1|Z_1,Z_2}(v_1|z_1,z_2)} \hat{p}_{v_1+v_2,z_1}(\hat{u}_1) \cdot \hat{p}_{v_2,z_2}(\hat{u}_1)^*. \tag{13}$$

Proof: Let $U_1, U_2, V_1, V_2, X_1, X_2, Y_1, Y_2, Z_1, Z_2$ be as in Remark 1. Fix $z_1, z_2 \in \mathcal{Z}$ and $v_1 \in \mathrm{Y}^{(z_1,z_2)}(W^-)$, and let $\beta = \mathbb{P}_{V_1|Z_1,Z_2}(v_1|z_1,z_2) > 0$. For every $u_1 \in G_1$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
p_{v_1,z_1,z_2,W^-}(u_1) &= \mathbb{P}_{U_1|V_1,Z_1,Z_2}(u_1|v_1,z_1,z_2) = \frac{1}{\beta}\mathbb{P}_{U_1,V_1|Z_1,Z_2}(u_1,v_1|z_1,z_2)\\
&= \frac{1}{\beta}\sum_{\substack{u_2 \in G_1\\ v_2 \in G_2}} \mathbb{P}_{U_1,U_2,V_1,V_2|Z_1,Z_2}(u_1,u_2,v_1,v_2|z_1,z_2)\\
&= \frac{1}{\beta}\sum_{\substack{u_2 \in G_1\\ v_2 \in G_2}} \mathbb{P}_{X_1,X_2,Y_1,Y_2|Z_1,Z_2}(u_1+u_2,u_2,v_1+v_2,v_2|z_1,z_2)\\
&= \frac{1}{\beta}\sum_{v_2 \in G_2}\sum_{u_2 \in G_1} \mathbb{P}_{X_1,Y_1|Z_1}(u_1+u_2,v_1+v_2|z_1)\mathbb{P}_{X_2,Y_2|Z_2}(u_2,v_2|z_2)\\
&= \frac{1}{\beta}\sum_{\substack{v_2 \in \mathrm{Y}^{z_2}(W):\\ v_1+v_2 \in \mathrm{Y}^{z_1}(W)}}\sum_{u_2 \in G_1} \mathbb{P}_{X_1,Y_1|Z_1}(u_1+u_2,v_1+v_2|z_1)\mathbb{P}_{X_2,Y_2|Z_2}(u_2,v_2|z_2)\\
&= \frac{1}{\beta}\sum_{\substack{v_2 \in \mathrm{Y}^{z_2}(W):\\ v_1+v_2 \in \mathrm{Y}^{z_1}(W)}} \mathbb{P}_{Y_1|Z_1}(v_1+v_2|z_1)\mathbb{P}_{Y_2|Z_2}(v_2|z_2)\sum_{u_2 \in G_1} p_{v_1+v_2,z_1}(u_1+u_2)p_{v_2,z_2}(u_2)\\
&= \frac{1}{\beta}\sum_{\substack{v_2 \in \mathrm{Y}^{z_2}(W):\\ v_1+v_2 \in \mathrm{Y}^{z_1}(W)}} \mathbb{P}_{Y_1|Z_1}(v_1+v_2|z_1)\mathbb{P}_{Y_2|Z_2}(v_2|z_2)(p_{v_1+v_2,z_1} * \tilde{p}_{v_2,z_2})(u_1),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{p}_{v_2,z_2}(x) = p_{v_2,z_2}(-x)$ for every $x \in G_1$. Therefore, for every $\hat{u}_1 \in G_1$, we have:

$$\hat{p}_{v_1,z_1,z_2,W^-}(\hat{u}_1) = \sum_{\substack{v_2 \in \mathrm{Y}^{z_2}(W):\\ v_1+v_2 \in \mathrm{Y}^{z_1}(W)}} \frac{\mathbb{P}_{Y_1|Z_1}(v_1+v_2|z_1)\mathbb{P}_{Y_2|Z_2}(v_2|z_2)}{\mathbb{P}_{V_1|Z_1,Z_2}(v_1|z_1,z_2)} \hat{p}_{v_1+v_2,z_1}(\hat{u}_1) \cdot \hat{p}_{v_2,z_2}(\hat{u}_1)^*.$$

■

Lemma 10. *If I_1 is $*^-$ preserved for W, then* $\mathrm{D}(W^-) \subset \{(\hat{x}, y_1 + y_2) :\ (\hat{x}, y_1), (\hat{x}, y_2) \in \mathrm{D}(W)\}$.

Proof: Let $U_1, U_2, V_1, V_2, X_1, X_2, Y_1, Y_2, Z_1, Z_2$ be as in Remark 1. Let $(\hat{u}_1, v_1) \in \mathrm{D}(W^-)$. There exists $z^- = (z_1, z_2) \in \mathcal{Z}^2$ such that $(\hat{u}_1, v_1) \in \mathrm{D}^{z^-}(W^-)$, i.e., $\hat{u}_1 \in \hat{\mathrm{X}}^{z^-}(W^-)$ and $v_1 \in \Delta\mathrm{Y}^{z^-}(W^-)$. This implies the existence of $v_1', v_1'' \in \mathrm{Y}^{z^-}(W^-)$ such that $v_1 = v_1' - v_1''$. Since $\hat{u}_1 \in \hat{\mathrm{X}}^{z^-}(W^-)$, Lemma 6 shows that $\hat{p}_{v_1', z^-, W^-}(\hat{u}_1) \neq 0$ and $\hat{p}_{v_1'', z^-, W^-}(\hat{u}_1) \neq 0$. From (13), we have:

$$\hat{p}_{v_1', z^-, W^-}(\hat{u}_1) = \sum_{\substack{v_2' \in \mathrm{Y}^{z_2}(W): \\ v_1' + v_2' \in \mathrm{Y}^{z_1}(W)}} \frac{\mathbb{P}_{Y_1|Z_1}(v_1' + v_2'|z_1)\mathbb{P}_{Y_2|Z_2}(v_2'|z_2)}{\mathbb{P}_{V_1|Z_1,Z_2}(v_1'|z_1, z_2)} \hat{p}_{v_1'+v_2', z_1}(\hat{u}_1) \cdot \hat{p}_{v_2', z_2}(\hat{u}_1)^*.$$

Since $\hat{p}_{v_1', z^-, W^-}(\hat{u}_1) \neq 0$, the terms in the above sum cannot all be zero. Therefore, there exists $v_2' \in \mathrm{Y}^{z_2}(W)$ such that $v_1' + v_2' \in \mathrm{Y}^{z_1}(W)$, $\hat{p}_{v_1'+v_2', z_1}(\hat{u}_1) \neq 0$ and $\hat{p}_{v_2', z_2}(\hat{u}_1) \neq 0$. Similarly, since $\hat{p}_{v_1'', z^-, W^-}(\hat{u}_1) \neq 0$, there exists $v_2'' \in \mathrm{Y}^{z_2}(W)$ such that $v_1'' + v_2'' \in \mathrm{Y}^{z_1}(W)$, $\hat{p}_{v_1''+v_2'', z_1}(\hat{u}_1) \neq 0$ and $\hat{p}_{v_2'', z_2}(\hat{u}_1) \neq 0$. Therefore, we have

- $\hat{u}_1 \in \hat{\mathrm{X}}^{z_1}(W)$ (because $\hat{p}_{v_1'+v_2', z_1}(\hat{u}_1) \neq 0$).
- $v_1 + v_2' - v_2'' = (v_1' + v_2') - (v_1'' + v_2'') \in \Delta\mathrm{Y}^{z_1}(W)$.
- $\hat{u}_1 \in \hat{\mathrm{X}}^{z_2}(W)$ (because $\hat{p}_{v_2', z_2}(\hat{u}_1) \neq 0$).
- $v_2'' - v_2' \in \Delta\mathrm{Y}^{z_2}(W)$.

We can now see that $(\hat{u}_1, v_1 + v_2' - v_2'') \in \mathrm{D}^{z_1}(W) \subset \mathrm{D}(W)$ and $(\hat{u}_1, v_2'' - v_2') \in \mathrm{D}^{z_2}(W) \subset \mathrm{D}(W)$. By noticing that $v_1 = (v_1 + v_2' - v_2'') + (v_2'' - v_2')$, we conclude that:

$$\mathrm{D}(W^-) \subset \big\{(\hat{x}, y_1 + y_2) :\ (\hat{x}, y_1), (\hat{x}, y_2) \in \mathrm{D}(W)\big\}.$$

■

Proposition 3. *If I_1 is $*^-$ preserved for W, we have:*

1) $\mathrm{D}(W^-) = \{(\hat{x}, y_1 + y_2) :\ (\hat{x}, y_1), (\hat{x}, y_2) \in \mathrm{D}(W)\}$.
2) *For every $\hat{x}, y_1, y_2$ satisfying $(\hat{x}, y_1), (\hat{x}, y_2) \in \mathrm{D}(W)$, we have*

$$\hat{f}_{W^-}(\hat{x}, y_1 + y_2) = \hat{f}_W(\hat{x}, y_1) \cdot \hat{f}_W(\hat{x}, y_2).$$

Proof: Let $U_1, U_2, V_1, V_2, X_1, X_2, Y_1, Y_2, Z_1, Z_2$ be as in Remark 1. We have:

1) Let $\hat{x}, y_1, y_2$ be such that $(\hat{x}, y_1), (\hat{x}, y_2) \in \mathrm{D}(W)$. There exist $z_1, z_2 \in \mathcal{Z}$, $y_1', y_1'' \in \mathrm{Y}^{z_1}(W)$ and $y_2', y_2'' \in \mathrm{Y}^{z_2}(W)$ such that $\hat{x} \in \hat{\mathrm{X}}^{z_1}(W)$, $\hat{x} \in \hat{\mathrm{X}}^{z_2}(W)$, $y_1 = y_1' - y_1''$ and $y_2 = y_2' - y_2''$. Lemma 6 implies that $\hat{p}_{y_1', z_1}(\hat{x}) \neq 0$, $\hat{p}_{y_1'', z_1}(\hat{x}) \neq 0$, $\hat{p}_{y_2', z_2}(\hat{x}) \neq 0$ and $\hat{p}_{y_2'', z_2}(\hat{x}) \neq 0$. Now from Lemma 8 we get $y_1' - y_2'' \in \mathrm{Y}^{(z_1, z_2)}(W^-)$ and $y_1'' - y_2' \in \mathrm{Y}^{(z_1, z_2)}(W^-)$.
   For every $v_2 \in \mathrm{Y}^{z_2}(W)$ satisfying $y_1' - y_2'' + v_2 \in \mathrm{Y}^{z_1}(W)$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{p}_{y_1' - y_2'' + v_2, z_1}(\hat{x}) \cdot \hat{p}_{v_2, z_2}(\hat{x})^* &= \hat{p}_{y_1', z_1}(\hat{x}) \hat{f}_W(\hat{x}, v_2 - y_2'') \cdot \hat{p}_{y_2'', z_2}(\hat{x})^* \hat{f}_W(\hat{x}, v_2 - y_2'')^* \\ &\overset{(a)}{=} \hat{p}_{y_1', z_1}(\hat{x}) \hat{p}_{y_2'', z_2}(\hat{x})^*, \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

   where (a) follows from the fact that $\hat{f}_W(\hat{x}, v_2 - y_2'') \in \mathbb{T}$, which means that

$$\hat{f}_W(\hat{x}, v_2 - y_2'') \hat{f}_W(\hat{x}, v_2 - y_2'')^* = |\hat{f}_W(\hat{x}, v_2 - y_2'')|^2 = 1.$$

Let $z^- = (z_1, z_2) \in \mathcal{Z}^2$. From (13), we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\hat{p}_{y_1'-y_2'',z^-,W^-}(\hat{x}) \\
&\quad= \sum_{\substack{v_2 \in \mathrm{Y}^{z_2}(W):\\ y_1'-y_2''+v_2 \in \mathrm{Y}^{z_1}(W)}} \frac{\mathbb{P}_{Y_1|Z_1}(y_1'-y_2''+v_2|z_1)\mathbb{P}_{Y_2|Z_2}(v_2|z_2)}{\mathbb{P}_{V_1|Z_1,Z_2}(y_1'-y_2''|z_1,z_2)} \hat{p}_{y_1'-y_2''+v_2,z_1}(\hat{x}) \cdot \hat{p}_{v_2,z_2}(\hat{x})^* \\
&\quad\overset{(a)}{=} \sum_{\substack{v_2 \in \mathrm{Y}^{z_2}(W):\\ y_1'-y_2''+v_2 \in \mathrm{Y}^{z_1}(W)}} \frac{\mathbb{P}_{Y_1|Z_1}(y_1'-y_2''+v_2|z_1)\mathbb{P}_{Y_2|Z_2}(v_2|z_2)}{\mathbb{P}_{V_1|Z_1,Z_2}(y_1'-y_2''|z_1,z_2)} \hat{p}_{y_1',z_1}(\hat{x})\hat{p}_{y_2'',z_2}(\hat{x})^* \\
&\quad= \hat{p}_{y_1',z_1}(\hat{x})\hat{p}_{y_2'',z_2}(\hat{x})^* \sum_{\substack{v_2 \in \mathrm{Y}^{z_2}(W):\\ y_1'-y_2''+v_2 \in \mathrm{Y}^{z_1}(W)}} \frac{\mathbb{P}_{Y_1|Z_1}(y_1'-y_2''+v_2|z_1)\mathbb{P}_{Y_2|Z_2}(v_2|z_2)}{\mathbb{P}_{V_1|Z_1,Z_2}(y_1'-y_2''|z_1,z_2)} \\
&\quad= \hat{p}_{y_1',z_1}(\hat{x})\hat{p}_{y_2'',z_2}(\hat{x})^* \neq 0,
\end{aligned}$$

where (a) follows from (14). This shows that $\hat{x} \in \hat{\mathrm{X}}^{z^-}(W^-)$. Now since $y_1'-y_2'' \in \mathrm{Y}^{z^-}(W^-)$ and $y_1''-y_2' \in \mathrm{Y}^{z^-}(W^-)$, we have $(y_1'-y_2'')-(y_1''-y_2') \in \Delta\mathrm{Y}^{z^-}(W^-)$. Therefore,

$$(\hat{x}, y_1+y_2) = (\hat{x}, y_1'-y_1''+y_2'-y_2'') = \big(\hat{x}, (y_1'-y_2'')-(y_1''-y_2')\big) \in \mathrm{D}(W^-).$$

Hence, $\big\{(\hat{x}, y_1+y_2) :\ (\hat{x}, y_1), (\hat{x}, y_2) \in \mathrm{D}(W)\big\} \subset \mathrm{D}(W^-)$. We conclude that

$$\mathrm{D}(W^-) = \big\{(\hat{x}, y_1+y_2) :\ (\hat{x}, y_1), (\hat{x}, y_2) \in \mathrm{D}(W)\big\}$$

since the other inclusion was shown in Lemma 10.

2) Let $\hat{x}, y_1, y_2$ be such that $(\hat{x}, y_1), (\hat{x}, y_2) \in \mathrm{D}(W)$. Define $y_1', y_1'', y_2', y_2'', z_1, z_2$ as in 1). We have shown that $\hat{p}_{y_1'-y_2'',z^-,W^-}(\hat{x}) = \hat{p}_{y_1',z_1}(\hat{x})\hat{p}_{y_2'',z_2}(\hat{x})^*$. Similarly, we can show that $\hat{p}_{y_1''-y_2',z_1,z_2,W^-}(\hat{x}) = \hat{p}_{y_1'',z_1}(\hat{x})\hat{p}_{y_2',z_2}(\hat{x})^*$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{f}_{W^-}(\hat{x}, y_1+y_2) &= \hat{f}_{W^-}(\hat{x}, y_1'-y_1''+y_2'-y_2'') = \hat{f}_{W^-}\big(\hat{x}, (y_1'-y_2'')-(y_1''-y_2')\big) \\
&= \frac{\hat{p}_{y_1'-y_2'',z^-,W^-}(\hat{x})}{\hat{p}_{y_1''-y_2',z_1,z_2,W^-}(\hat{x})} = \frac{\hat{p}_{y_1',z_1}(\hat{x})\hat{p}_{y_2'',z_2}(\hat{x})^*}{\hat{p}_{y_1'',z_1}(\hat{x})\hat{p}_{y_2',z_2}(\hat{x})^*} = \frac{\hat{f}_W(\hat{x}, y_1)}{\hat{f}_W(\hat{x}, y_2)^*} \\
&\overset{(a)}{=} \hat{f}_W(\hat{x}, y_1) \cdot \hat{f}_W(\hat{x}, y_2),
\end{aligned}$$

where (a) follows from the fact that $\hat{f}_W(\hat{x}, y_2) \cdot \hat{f}_W(\hat{x}, y_2)^* = |\hat{f}_W(\hat{x}, y_2)|^2 = 1$.

■

Corollary 1. *If polarization* $*$*-preserves* I_1 *for* W*, then* $\mathrm{D}(W) \subset \mathrm{D}(W^-)$ *and* $\hat{f}_{W^-}(\hat{x}, y) = \hat{f}_W(\hat{x}, y)$ *for every* $(\hat{x}, y) \in \mathrm{D}(W)$*, i.e.,* $\hat{f}_{W^-}$ *is an extension of* $\hat{f}_W$*.*

Proof: Let $(\hat{x}, y) \in \mathrm{D}(W)$. There exists $z \in \mathcal{Z}$ and $y_1, y_2 \in \mathrm{Y}^z(W)$ such that $y = y_1 - y_2$, $\hat{p}_{y_1,z}(\hat{x}) \neq 0$ and $\hat{p}_{y_2,z}(\hat{x}) \neq 0$. Since $y_1 \in \mathrm{Y}^z(W)$, we have $0 = y_1 - y_1 \in \Delta\mathrm{Y}^z(W)$. Therefore, we have $(\hat{x}, 0) \in \mathrm{D}(W)$ and $\hat{f}_W(\hat{x}, 0) = \dfrac{\hat{p}_{y_1,z}(\hat{x})}{\hat{p}_{y_1,z}(\hat{x})} = 1$.

Since $(\hat{x}, y) \in \mathrm{D}(W)$ and $(\hat{x}, 0) \in \mathrm{D}(W)$, Proposition 3 implies that $(\hat{x}, y) \in \mathrm{D}(W^-)$ and $\hat{f}_{W^-}(\hat{x}, y) = \hat{f}_W(\hat{x}, y) \cdot \hat{f}_W(\hat{x}, 0) = \hat{f}_W(\hat{x}, y)$. ■

In the next few lemmas, we show that if polarization $*$-preserves I_1 for W, then $D(W) \subset D(W^+)$ and $\hat{f}_{W^+} : D(W^+) \to \mathbb{T}$ is an extension of $\hat{f}_W : D(W) \to \mathbb{T}$.

Lemma 11. *For every* $y_1, y_2 \in G_2$ *and every* $z_1, z_2 \in \mathcal{Z}$*, we have:*

- *If* $(y_1, z_1) \notin \mathrm{YZ}(W)$ *or* $(y_2, z_2) \notin \mathrm{YZ}(W)$*, then* $(y_2, z_1, z_2, u_1, y_1 - y_2) \notin \mathrm{YZ}(W^+)$ *for every* $u_1 \in G_1$*.*
- *If* $(y_1, z_1) \in \mathrm{YZ}(W)$ *and* $(y_2, z_2) \in \mathrm{YZ}(W)$*, there exists* $u_1 \in G_1$ *such that* $(y_2, z_1, z_2, u_1, y_1 - y_2) \in \mathrm{YZ}(W^+)$*.*

Proof: Let $U_1, U_2, V_1, V_2, X_1, X_2, Y_1, Y_2, Z_1, Z_2$ be as in Remark 1. For every $u_1 \in G_1$, every $y_1, y_2 \in G_2$ and every $z_1, z_2 \in \mathcal{Z}$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}_{V_2,Z_1,Z_2,U_1,V_1}(y_2, z_1, z_2, u_1, y_1 - y_2) &= \sum_{u_2 \in G_1} \mathbb{P}_{U_2,V_2,Z_1,Z_2,U_1,V_1}(u_2, y_2, z_1, z_2, u_1, y_1 - y_2) \\ &= \sum_{u_2 \in G_1} \mathbb{P}_{X_1,X_2,Y_1,Y_2,Z_1,Z_2}(u_1 + u_2, u_2, y_1, y_2, z_1, z_2) \\ &= \sum_{u_2 \in G_1} \mathbb{P}_{X_1,Y_1,Z_1}(u_1 + u_2, y_1, z_1) \cdot \mathbb{P}_{X_2,Y_2,Z_2}(u_2, y_2, z_2).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have:

- If $(y_1, z_1) \notin \mathrm{YZ}(W)$ or $(y_2, z_2) \notin \mathrm{YZ}(W)$, then for all $u_1, u_2 \in G_1$, we have $\mathbb{P}_{X_1,Y_1,Z_1}(u_1 + u_2, y_1, z_1) \leq \mathbb{P}_{Y_1,Z_1}(y_1, z_1) = 0$ or $\mathbb{P}_{X_2,Y_2,Z_2}(u_2, y_2, z_2) \leq \mathbb{P}_{Y_2,Z_2}(y_2, z_2) = 0$, which means that $\mathbb{P}_{V_2,Z_1,Z_2,U_1,V_1}(y_2, z_1, z_2, u_1, y_1 - y_2) = 0$. Hence $(y_2, z_1, z_2, u_1, y_1 - y_2) \notin \mathrm{YZ}(W^+)$ for every $u_1 \in G_1$.
- If $(y_1, z_1) \in \mathrm{YZ}(W)$ and $(y_2, z_2) \in \mathrm{YZ}(W)$, then $\mathbb{P}_{Y_1,Z_1}(y_1, z_1) > 0$ and $\mathbb{P}_{Y_2,Z_2}(y_2, z_2) > 0$. This means that there exist $x_1, x_2 \in G_1$ such that $\mathbb{P}_{X_1,Y_1,Z_1}(x_1, y_1, z_1) > 0$ and $\mathbb{P}_{X_2,Y_2,Z_2}(x_2, y_2, z_2) > 0$. Let $u_1 = x_1 - x_2$ and $u_2 = x_2$. We have $\mathbb{P}_{X_1,Y_1,Z_1}(u_1 + u_2, y_1, z_1) \cdot \mathbb{P}_{X_2,Y_2,Z_2}(u_2, y_2, z_2) > 0$, which implies that $\mathbb{P}_{V_2,Z_1,Z_2,U_1,V_1}(y_2, z_1, z_2, u_1, y_1 - y_2) > 0$ hence $(y_2, z_1, z_2, u_1, y_1 - y_2) \in \mathrm{YZ}(W^+)$.

■

Lemma 12. *Let* $U_1, U_2, V_1, V_2, X_1, X_2, Y_1, Y_2, Z_1, Z_2$ *be as in Remark 1. For every* $(v_2, z_1, z_2, u_1, v_1) \in \mathrm{YZ}(W^+)$*, we have:*

$$\hat{p}_{v_2,z_1,z_2,u_1,v_1,W^+}(\hat{u}_2) = \sum_{\hat{u}_2' \in G_1} \frac{\hat{p}_{v_1+v_2,z_1}(\hat{u}_2') \cdot \hat{p}_{v_2,z_2}(\hat{u}_2 - \hat{u}_2')}{|G_1| \alpha(u_1, z_1, z_2, v_1, v_2)} e^{j2\pi\langle \hat{u}_2', u_1\rangle}, \tag{15}$$

where $\alpha(u_1, z_1, z_2, v_1, v_2) = \mathbb{P}_{U_1|Z_1,Z_2,V_1,V_2}(u_1|z_1, z_2, v_1, v_2)$*.*

Proof: For every $(v_2, z_1, z_2, u_1, v_1) \in \mathrm{YZ}(W^+)$ and every $u_2 \in G_2$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}p_{v_2,z_1,z_2,u_1,v_1,W^+}(u_2) &= \mathbb{P}_{U_2|V_2,Z_1,Z_2,U_1,V_1}(u_2|v_2, z_1, z_2, u_1, v_1) \\ &= \frac{\mathbb{P}_{U_1,U_2|Z_1,Z_2,V_1,V_2}(u_1, u_2|z_1, z_2, v_1, v_2)}{\mathbb{P}_{U_1|Z_1,Z_2,V_1,V_2}(u_1|z_1, z_2, v_1, v_2)} \\ &= \frac{\mathbb{P}_{X_1,X_2|Z_1,Z_2,Y_1,Y_2}(u_1 + u_2, u_2|z_1, z_2, v_1 + v_2, v_2)}{\alpha(u_1, z_1, z_2, v_1, v_2)} \\ &= \frac{\mathbb{P}_{X_1|Z_1,Y_1}(u_1 + u_2|z_1, v_1 + v_2)\mathbb{P}_{X_2|Z_2,Y_2}(u_2|z_2, v_2)}{\alpha(u_1, z_1, z_2, v_1, v_2)} \\ &= \frac{p_{v_1+v_2,z_1}(u_1 + u_2)p_{v_2,z_2}(u_2)}{\alpha(u_1, z_1, z_2, v_1, v_2)}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{p}_{v_2,z_1,z_2,u_1,v_1,W^+}(\hat{u}_2) &= \frac{\frac{1}{|G_1|}\left(\hat{p}_{v_1+v_2,z_1}(\hat{u}_2)e^{j2\pi\langle \hat{u}_2, u_1\rangle}\right) * \hat{p}_{v_2,z_2}(\hat{u}_2)}{\alpha(u_1, z_1, z_2, v_1, v_2)} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{\hat{u}_2' \in G_1} \hat{p}_{v_1+v_2,z_1}(\hat{u}_2')e^{j2\pi\langle \hat{u}_2', u_1\rangle}\hat{p}_{v_2,z_2}(\hat{u}_2 - \hat{u}_2')}{|G_1|\alpha(u_1, z_1, z_2, v_1, v_2)} \\ &= \sum_{\hat{u}_2' \in G_1} \frac{\hat{p}_{v_1+v_2,z_1}(\hat{u}_2') \cdot \hat{p}_{v_2,z_2}(\hat{u}_2 - \hat{u}_2')}{|G_1|\alpha(u_1, z_1, z_2, v_1, v_2)} e^{j2\pi\langle \hat{u}_2', u_1\rangle}.\end{aligned}$$

■

Lemma 13. *Let* $(y_1,z_1),(y_2,z_2)\in \mathrm{YZ}(W)$ *and* $\hat{x}\in G_1$. *If there exists* $u_1\in G_1$ *such that*

$$\sum_{\hat{u}\in G_1} \hat{p}_{y_1,z_1}(\hat{u})\cdot \hat{p}_{y_2,z_2}(\hat{x}-\hat{u})e^{j2\pi\langle \hat{u},u_1\rangle}\neq 0, \tag{16}$$

then we have:

- $(y_2,z^+)\in \mathrm{YZ}(W^+)$, *where* $z^+=(z_1,z_2,u_1,y_1-y_2)$.
- $\hat{x}\in \hat{\mathrm{X}}^{z^+}(W^+)$.

Proof: Let $U_1,U_2,V_1,V_2,X_1,X_2,Y_1,Y_2,Z_1,Z_2$ be as in Remark 1. Let $v_1=y_1+y_2$ and $v_2=y_2$. Notice that the expression in (16) is the DFT of the mapping $K:G_1\to\mathbb{C}$ defined as

$$K(x)=|G_1|\cdot p_{y_1,z_1}(u_1+x)\cdot p_{y_2,z_2}(x).$$

(16) shows that $\hat{K}$ is not zero everywhere which implies that K is not zero everywhere. Therefore, there exists $x\in G_1$ such that $K(x)\neq 0$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}_{V_2,Z_1,Z_2,U_1,V_1}(v_2,z_1,z_2,u_1,v_1) &\geq \mathbb{P}_{U_1,U_2,V_1,V_2,Z_1,Z_2}(u_1,x,y_1-y_2,y_2,z_1,z_2)\\
&= \mathbb{P}_{X_1,X_2,Y_1,Y_2,Z_1,Z_2}(u_1+x,x,y_1,y_2,z_1,z_2)\\
&= \mathbb{P}_{X_1,Y_1,Z_1}(u_1+x,y_1,z_1)\mathbb{P}_{X_2,Y_2,Z_2}(x,y_2,z_2)\\
&= \mathbb{P}_{Y_1,Z_1}(y_1,z_1)p_{y_1,z_1}(u_1+x)\cdot \mathbb{P}_{Y_2,Z_2}(y_2,z_2)p_{y_2,z_2}(x)\\
&= \mathbb{P}_{Y_1,Z_1}(y_1,z_1)\cdot \mathbb{P}_{Y_2,Z_2}(y_2,z_2)\cdot \frac{K(x)}{|G_1|} \overset{(a)}{>} 0,
\end{aligned}$$

where (a) follows from the fact that $y_1\in \mathrm{Y}^{z_1}(W)$, $y_2\in \mathrm{Y}^{z_2}(W)$ and $K(x)>0$. We conclude that $(v_2,z_1,z_2,u_1,v_1)\in \mathrm{YZ}(W^+)$ and so we can apply (15) to (v_2,z_1,z_2,u_1,v_1):

$$\hat{p}_{v_2,z_1,z_2,u_1,v_1,W^+}(\hat{x}) \overset{(a)}{=} \sum_{\hat{u}\in G_1}\frac{\hat{p}_{y_1,z_1}(\hat{u})\cdot \hat{p}_{y_2,z_2}(\hat{x}-\hat{u})}{|G_1|\alpha(u_1,z_1,z_2,v_1,v_2)}e^{j2\pi\langle \hat{u},u_1\rangle} \overset{(b)}{\neq} 0,$$

where (b) follows from (16). Therefore, $\hat{p}_{y_2,z^+,W^+}(\hat{x})\neq 0$, where $z^+=(z_1,z_2,u_1,y_1-y_2)$. Therefore, $\hat{x}\in \hat{\mathrm{X}}^{z^+}(W^+)$. ■

Proposition 4. *Suppose that polarization* $*$*-preserves* I_1 *for* W. *We have:*

1) $\big\{(\hat{x}_1+\hat{x}_2,y):\ (\hat{x}_1,y),(\hat{x}_2,y)\in \mathrm{D}(W)\big\}\subset \mathrm{D}(W^+)$.
2) *For every* $\hat{x}_1,\hat{x}_2,y$ *satisfying* $(\hat{x}_1,y),(\hat{x}_2,y)\in \mathrm{D}(W)$, *we have*

$$\hat{f}_{W^+}(\hat{x}_1+\hat{x}_2,y)=\hat{f}_W(\hat{x}_1,y)\cdot \hat{f}_W(\hat{x}_2,y).$$

Proof: Let $U_1,U_2,V_1,V_2,X_1,X_2,Y_1,Y_2,Z_1,Z_2$ be as in Remark 1.

1) Suppose that $\hat{x}_1,\hat{x}_2,y$ satisfy $(\hat{x}_1,y),(\hat{x}_2,y)\in \mathrm{D}(W)$ and let $\hat{x}=\hat{x}_1+\hat{x}_2$. There exist $z_1,z_2\in\mathcal{Z}$, $y_1,y_1'\in \mathrm{Y}^{z_1}(W)$ and $y_2,y_2'\in \mathrm{Y}^{z_2}(W)$ such that
   - $\hat{x}_1\in \hat{\mathrm{X}}^{z_1}(W)$ and $y=y_1-y_1'$.
   - $\hat{x}_2\in \hat{\mathrm{X}}^{z_2}(W)$ and $y=y_2-y_2'$.

   Lemma 6 implies that $\hat{p}_{y_1,z_1}(\hat{x}_1)\neq 0$, $\hat{p}_{y_1',z_1}(\hat{x}_1)\neq 0$, $\hat{p}_{y_2,z_2}(\hat{x}_2)\neq 0$ and $\hat{p}_{y_2',z_2}(\hat{x}_2)\neq 0$.
   Let $v_1=y_1-y_2=y_1'-y_2'$, $v_2=y_2$ and $v_2'=y_2'$. Define the mapping $\hat{L}:G_1\to\mathbb{C}$ as

$$\hat{L}(\hat{u})=\hat{p}_{y_1,z_1}(\hat{u})\cdot \hat{p}_{y_2,z_2}(\hat{x}-\hat{u}).$$

   We have: $\hat{L}(\hat{x}_1)=\hat{p}_{y_1,z_1}(\hat{x}_1)\cdot \hat{p}_{y_2,z_2}(\hat{x}_2)\neq 0$. Therefore, the mapping $\hat{L}$ is not zero everywhere, which implies that its inverse DFT is not zero everywhere. Hence there exists $u_1\in G_1$ such that:

$$\sum_{\hat{u}\in G_1}\hat{p}_{y_1,z_1}(\hat{u})\cdot \hat{p}_{y_2,z_2}(\hat{x}-\hat{u})e^{j2\pi\langle \hat{u},u_1\rangle}\neq 0.$$

It follows from Lemma 13 that $(v_2, z^+) \in \mathrm{YZ}(W^+)$ and $\hat{x} \in \hat{\mathrm{X}}^{z^+}(W^+)$, where $z^+ = (z_1, z_2, u_1, v_1)$. If we can also show that $(v_2', z^+) \in \mathrm{YZ}(W^+)$ we will be able to conclude that $(\hat{x}, y) \in \mathrm{D}(W^+)$ since $y = v_2 - v_2'$. We have the following:

- $\mathbb{P}_{U_1,Z_1,Z_2,V_1}(u_1, z_1, z_2, v_1) \geq \mathbb{P}_{V_2,Z_1,Z_2,U_1,V_1}(v_2, z_1, z_2, u_1, v_1) > 0$ since $(v_2, z^+) \in \mathrm{YZ}(W^+)$. Hence,
$$\mathbb{P}_{U_1|Z_1,Z_2,V_1}(u_1|z_1, z_2, v_1) > 0.$$
- $\mathbb{P}_{V_2,Z_1,Z_2,V_1}(v_2', z_1, z_2, v_1) = \mathbb{P}_{Y_1,Z_1,Y_2,Z_2}(y_1', z_1, y_2', z_2) > 0$ since $y_1' \in \mathrm{Y}^{z_1}(W)$ and $y_2' \in \mathrm{Y}^{z_2}(W)$. Thus,
$$\mathbb{P}_{V_2|Z_1,Z_2,V_1}(v_2'|z_1, z_2, v_1) > 0.$$

But I_1 is preserved for W, so we must have $I(U_1; V_2|Z_1Z_2V_1) = 0$. Therefore,

$$\mathbb{P}_{U_1,V_2|Z_1,Z_2,V_1}(u_1, v_2'|z_1, z_2, v_1) = \mathbb{P}_{U_1|Z_1,Z_2,V_1}(u_1|z_1, z_2, v_1) \cdot \mathbb{P}_{V_2|Z_1,Z_2,V_1}(v_2'|z_1, z_2, v_1) > 0. \tag{17}$$

We conclude that $\mathbb{P}_{V_2,Z_1,Z_2,U_1,V_1}(v_2', z_1, z_2, u_1, v_1) > 0$ and so $(v_2', z^+) \in \mathrm{YZ}(W^+)$. Hence, $(\hat{x}, y) \in \mathrm{D}(W^+)$. We conclude that $(\hat{x}_1 + \hat{x}_2, y) \in \mathrm{D}(W^+)$ for every $\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2, y$ satisfying $(\hat{x}_1, y), (\hat{x}_2, y) \in \mathrm{D}(W)$. Therefore,

$$\big\{(\hat{x}_1 + \hat{x}_2, y) :\ (\hat{x}_1, y), (\hat{x}_2, y) \in \mathrm{D}(W)\big\} \subset \mathrm{D}(W^+).$$

2) Suppose that $\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2, y$ satisfy $(\hat{x}_1, y), (\hat{x}_2, y) \in \mathrm{D}(W)$ and let $\hat{x} = \hat{x}_1 + \hat{x}_2$. Let $y_1, y_2, y_1', y_2', v_1, v_2, v_2', z_1, z_2, z^+$ be defined as in 1) so that $v_2, v_2' \in \mathrm{Y}^{z^+}(W^+)$, $y = v_2 - v_2'$ and $\hat{x} \in \hat{\mathrm{X}}^{z^+}(W^+)$. Lemma 6 implies that $\hat{p}_{v_2,z^+,W^+}(\hat{x}) \neq 0$ and $\hat{p}_{v_2',z^+,W^+}(\hat{x}) \neq 0$. Now since $(\hat{x}, y) = (\hat{x}, v_2 - v_2') \in \mathrm{D}(W^+)$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{p}_{v_2,z_1,z_2,u_1,v_1,W^+}(\hat{x}) = \hat{p}_{v_2,z^+,W^+}(\hat{x}) &= \hat{f}_{W^+}(\hat{x}, y) \cdot \hat{p}_{v_2',z^+,W^+}(\hat{x}) \\ &= \hat{f}_{W^+}(\hat{x}, y) \cdot \hat{p}_{v_2',z_1,z_2,u_1,v_1,W^+}(\hat{x}).\end{aligned}$$

Define $F : G_1 \to \mathbb{C}$ and $F' : G_1 \to \mathbb{C}$ as follows:

$$F(u_1') = \sum_{\hat{u} \in G_1} \hat{p}_{y_1,z_1}(\hat{u}) \cdot \hat{p}_{y_2,z_2}(\hat{x} - \hat{u}) e^{j2\pi\langle \hat{u}, u_1' \rangle}.$$

$$F'(u_1') = \sum_{\hat{u} \in G_1} \hat{p}_{y_1',z_1}(\hat{u}) \cdot \hat{p}_{y_2',z_2}(\hat{x} - \hat{u}) e^{j2\pi\langle \hat{u}, u_1' \rangle}.$$

For every $u_1' \in G_1$, we have the following:

- If $F(u_1') \neq 0$ then $(v_2, z_1, z_2, u_1', v_1) \in \mathrm{YZ}(W^+)$ and $\hat{x} \in \hat{\mathrm{X}}^{z_1,z_2,u_1',v_1}(W^+)$ by Lemma 13. By replacing u_1 by u_1' in (17), we can get $(v_2', z_1, z_2, u_1', v_1) \in \mathrm{YZ}(W^+)$. Therefore,
$$\hat{p}_{v_2,z_1,z_2,u_1',v_1,W^+}(\hat{x}) = \hat{f}_{W^+}(\hat{x}, y) \cdot \hat{p}_{v_2',z_1,z_2,u_1',v_1,W^+}(\hat{x}). \tag{18}$$

  We have:
$$\begin{aligned}F(u_1') &= \sum_{\hat{u} \in G_1} \hat{p}_{y_1,z_1}(\hat{u}) \cdot \hat{p}_{y_2,z_2}(\hat{x} - \hat{u}) e^{j2\pi\langle \hat{u}, u_1' \rangle} \\ &\overset{(a)}{=} |G_1| \cdot \alpha(u_1', z_1, z_2, v_1, v_2) \hat{p}_{v_2,z_1,z_2,u_1',v_1}(\hat{x}) \\ &\overset{(b)}{=} \frac{\alpha(u_1', z_1, z_2, v_1, v_2)}{\alpha(u_1', z_1, z_2, v_1, v_2')} |G_1| \alpha(u_1', z_1, z_2, v_1, v_2') \hat{p}_{v_2',z_1,z_2,u_1',v_1}(\hat{x}) \hat{f}_{W^+}(\hat{x}, y) \\ &\overset{(c)}{=} \frac{\alpha(u_1', z_1, z_2, v_1, v_2)}{\alpha(u_1', z_1, z_2, v_1, v_2')} \sum_{\hat{u} \in G_1} \hat{p}_{y_1',z_1}(\hat{u}) \cdot \hat{p}_{y_2',z_2}(\hat{x} - \hat{u}) e^{j2\pi\langle \hat{u}, u_1' \rangle} \hat{f}_{W^+}(\hat{x}, y) \\ &= \frac{\mathbb{P}_{U_1|Z_1,Z_2,V_1,V_2}(u_1'|z_1, z_2, v_1, v_2)}{\mathbb{P}_{U_1|Z_1,Z_2,V_1,V_2}(u_1'|z_1, z_2, v_1, v_2')} \hat{f}_{W^+}(\hat{x}, y) F'(u_1') \\ &\overset{(d)}{=} \hat{f}_{W^+}(\hat{x}, y) F'(u_1'),\end{aligned}$$

where (a) and (c) follow from (15), (b) follows from (18) and (d) follows from the fact that $I(U_1;V_2|Z_1Z_2V_1)=0$. Therefore, $F'(u_1')\neq 0$ and $F(u_1')=\hat{f}_{W^+}(\hat{x},y)F'(u_1')$.

- If $F(u_1')=0$ then we must have $F'(u_1')=0$ (because $F'(u_1')\neq 0$ would yield $F(u_1')\neq 0$ in a similar way as before, a contradiction). Therefore, we have $F(u_1')=0=\hat{f}_{W^+}(\hat{x},y)F'(u_1')$.

We conclude that for every $u_1'\in G_1$, we have

$$F(u_1')=\hat{f}_{W^+}(\hat{x},y)F'(u_1')=\sum_{\hat{u}\in G_1}\hat{f}_{W^+}(\hat{x},y)\cdot\hat{p}_{y_1',z_1}(\hat{u})\cdot\hat{p}_{y_2',z_2}(\hat{x}-\hat{u})e^{j2\pi\langle\hat{u},u_1'\rangle}. \tag{19}$$

Now define $g: G_1\times G_2\to\mathbb{C}$ as follows:

$$g(\hat{x}',y')=\begin{cases}\hat{f}_W(\hat{x}',y') & \text{if } (\hat{x}',y')\in\mathrm{D}(W),\\ 0 & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases} \tag{20}$$

For every $\hat{x}'\in G_1$, we have:

- If $\hat{p}_{y_1,z_1}(\hat{x}')\neq 0$ then $\hat{p}_{y_1',z_1}(\hat{x}')\neq 0$ (by Lemma 6) and $\hat{p}_{y_1,z_1}(\hat{x}')=\hat{f}_W(\hat{x}',y_1-y_1')\hat{p}_{y_1',z_1}(\hat{x}')=g(\hat{x}',y)\hat{p}_{y_1',z_1}(\hat{x}')$.
- If $\hat{p}_{y_1,z_1}(\hat{x}')=0$ then $\hat{p}_{y_1',z_1}(\hat{x}')=0$ (by Lemma 6) and so $\hat{p}_{y_1,z_1}(\hat{x}')=0=g(\hat{x}',y)\hat{p}_{y_1',z_1}(\hat{x}')$.

Therefore, for every $\hat{x}'\in G_1$ we have $\hat{p}_{y_1,z_1}(\hat{x}')=g(\hat{x}',y)\hat{p}_{y_1',z_1}(\hat{x}')$. Similarly, $\hat{p}_{y_2,z_2}(\hat{x}')=g(\hat{x}',y)\hat{p}_{y_2',z_2}(\hat{x}')$ for all $\hat{x}'\in G_1$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}F(u_1')&=\sum_{\hat{u}\in G_1}\hat{p}_{y_1,z_1}(\hat{u})\cdot\hat{p}_{y_2,z_2}(\hat{x}-\hat{u})e^{j2\pi\langle\hat{u},u_1'\rangle}\\&=\sum_{\hat{u}\in G_1}g(\hat{u},y)\hat{p}_{y_1',z_1}(\hat{u})\cdot g(\hat{x}-\hat{u},y)\hat{p}_{y_2',z_2}(\hat{x}-\hat{u})e^{j2\pi\langle\hat{u},u_1'\rangle}.\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

We conclude that for every $u_1'\in G_1$, we have:

$$\sum_{\hat{u}\in G_1}\Big[\hat{f}_{W^+}(\hat{x},y)-g(\hat{u},y)g(\hat{x}-\hat{u},y)\Big]\hat{p}_{y_1',z_1}(\hat{u})\cdot\hat{p}_{y_2',z_2}(\hat{x}-\hat{u})e^{j2\pi\langle\hat{u},u_1'\rangle}\overset{(a)}{=}F(u_1')-F(u_1')=0, \tag{22}$$

where (a) follows from (19) and (21). Notice that the sum in (22) is the inverse DFT of the function $\hat{K}: G_1\to\mathbb{C}$ defined as:

$$\hat{K}(\hat{u})=|G_1|\cdot\Big[\hat{f}_{W^+}(\hat{x},y)-g(\hat{u},y)g(\hat{x}-\hat{u},y)\Big]\hat{p}_{y_1',z_1}(\hat{u})\cdot\hat{p}_{y_2',z_2}(\hat{x}-\hat{u}).$$

Now (22) implies that the inverse DFT of $\hat{K}$ is zero everywhere. Therefore, $\hat{K}$ is also zero everywhere. In particular,

$$\hat{K}(\hat{x}_1)=|G_1|\cdot\Big[\hat{f}_{W^+}(\hat{x},y)-g(\hat{x}_1,y)g(\hat{x}_2,y)\Big]\hat{p}_{y_1',z_1}(\hat{x}_1)\cdot\hat{p}_{y_2',z_2}(\hat{x}_2)=0.$$

But $\hat{p}_{y_1',z_1}(\hat{x}_1)\neq 0$ and $\hat{p}_{y_2',z_2}(\hat{x}_2)\neq 0$, so we must have $\hat{f}_{W^+}(\hat{x},y)-g(\hat{x}_1,y)g(\hat{x}_2,y)=0$. Therefore,

$$\hat{f}_{W^+}(\hat{x},y)=g(\hat{x}_1,y)g(\hat{x}_2,y)=\hat{f}_W(\hat{x}_1,y)\cdot\hat{f}_W(\hat{x}_2,y).$$

■

Corollary 2. *If polarization $*$-preserves I_1 for W, then $\mathrm{D}(W)\subset\mathrm{D}(W^+)$ and $\hat{f}_{W^+}(\hat{x},y)=\hat{f}_W(\hat{x},y)$ for every $(\hat{x},y)\in\mathrm{D}(W)$, i.e., $\hat{f}_{W^+}$ is an extension of $\hat{f}_W$.*

Proof: For every $(\hat{x},y)\in\mathrm{D}(W)$, there exists $z\in\mathcal{Z}$ and $y_1,y_2\in\mathrm{Y}^z(W)$ such that $\hat{x}\in\hat{\mathrm{X}}^z(W)$ and $y=y_1-y_2$. Lemma 6 implies that $\hat{p}_{y_1,z}(\hat{x})\neq 0$ and $\hat{p}_{y_2,z}(\hat{x})\neq 0$. We have:

$$\hat{p}_{y_1,z}(0)=\sum_{x\in G_1}p_{y_1,z}(x)e^{-j2\pi\langle 0,x\rangle}=\sum_{x\in G_1}p_{y_1,z}(x)=1\neq 0.$$

Similarly, $\hat{p}_{y_2,z}(0) = 1 \neq 0$. Therefore, we have $0 \in \hat{\mathrm{X}}^z(W)$ and $y \in \Delta\mathrm{Y}^z(W)$. Hence, $(0,y) \in \mathrm{D}(W)$ and $\hat{f}_W(0,y) = \dfrac{\hat{p}_{y_1,z}(0)}{\hat{p}_{y_2,z}(0)} = 1$.

Since $(\hat{x},y) \in \mathrm{D}(W)$ and $(0,y) \in \mathrm{D}(W)$, Proposition 4 implies that $(\hat{x},y) \in \mathrm{D}(W^+)$ and $\hat{f}_{W^+}(\hat{x},y) = \hat{f}_W(\hat{x},y)\hat{f}_W(0,y) = \hat{f}_W(\hat{x},y)$. ■

The next proposition gives a necessary condition for the $*$-preservation of I_1:

Proposition 5. *If polarization $*$-preserves I_1 for W, then $\hat{f}_W$ can be extended to a pseudo quadratic function.*

Proof: Define the sequence $(W_n)_{n\geq 0}$ of MACs recursively as follows:

- $W_0 = W$.
- $W_n = W_{n-1}^-$ if $n > 0$ is odd.
- $W_n = W_{n-1}^+$ if $n > 0$ is even.

For example, we have $W_1 = W^-$, $W_2 = W^{(-,+)}$, $W_3 = W^{(-,+,-)}$, $W_4 = W^{(-,+,-,+)}$ …

It follows from Corollaries 1 and 2 that:

- The sequence of sets $\big(\mathrm{D}(W_n)\big)_{n\geq 0}$ is increasing.
- $\hat{f}_{W_n}$ is an extension of $\hat{f}_W$ for every $n > 0$.

Since $\big(\mathrm{D}(W_n)\big)_{n\geq 0}$ is increasing and since $G_1 \times G_2$ is finite, there exists $n_0 > 0$ such that for every $n \geq n_0$ we have $\mathrm{D}(W_n) = \mathrm{D}(W_{n_0})$ for all $n \geq n_0$. We may assume without loss of generality that n_0 is even. Define the following sets:

- $\hat{H}_1 = \{\hat{x} :\ \exists y,\ (\hat{x},y) \in \mathrm{D}(W_{n_0})\}$.
- For every $\hat{x} \in \hat{H}_1$, let $H_2^{\hat{x}} = \{y :\ (\hat{x},y) \in \mathrm{D}(W_{n_0})\}$.
- $H_2 = \{y :\ \exists \hat{x},\ (\hat{x},y) \in \mathrm{D}(W_{n_0})\}$.
- For every $y \in H_2$, let $\hat{H}_1^y = \{\hat{x} :\ (\hat{x},y) \in \mathrm{D}(W_{n_0})\}$.

We have the following:

- For every fixed $y \in H_2$, let $\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2 \in \hat{H}_1^y$ so $(\hat{x}_1,y), (\hat{x}_2,y) \in \mathrm{D}(W_{n_0}) \subset \mathrm{D}(W_{n_0+1})$. Therefore, it follows from Proposition 4 that $(\hat{x}_1+\hat{x}_2,y) \in \mathrm{D}(W_{n_0+1}^+) = \mathrm{D}(W_{n_0+2}) = \mathrm{D}(W_{n_0})$ which implies that $\hat{x}_1+\hat{x}_2 \in \hat{H}_1^y$. Hence $\hat{H}_1^y$ is a subgroup of $(G_1,+)$. Moreover, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{f}_{W_{n_0}}(\hat{x}_1+\hat{x}_2,y) &\overset{(a)}{=} \hat{f}_{W_{n_0+2}}(\hat{x}_1+\hat{x}_2,y) = \hat{f}_{W_{n_0+1}^+}(\hat{x}_1+\hat{x}_2,y)\\ &\overset{(b)}{=} \hat{f}_{W_{n_0+1}}(\hat{x}_1,y)\cdot\hat{f}_{W_{n_0+1}}(\hat{x}_2,y) \overset{(c)}{=} \hat{f}_{W_{n_0}}(\hat{x}_1,y)\cdot\hat{f}_{W_{n_0}}(\hat{x}_2,y),\end{aligned}$$

  where (a) and (c) follow from corollaries 1 and 2 and (b) follows from Proposition 4. Therefore the mapping $\hat{x} \to \hat{f}_{W_{n_0}}(\hat{x},y)$ is a group homomorphism from $(\hat{H}_1^y,+)$ to $(\mathbb{T},\cdot)$.
- For every fixed $\hat{x} \in \hat{H}_1$, let $y_1,y_2 \in H_2^{\hat{x}}$ so $(\hat{x},y_1),(\hat{x},y_2) \in \mathrm{D}(W_{n_0})$. Therefore, it follows from Proposition 3 that $(\hat{x},y_1+y_2) \in \mathrm{D}(W_{n_0}^-) = \mathrm{D}(W_{n_0+1}) = \mathrm{D}(W_{n_0})$ which implies that $y_1+y_2 \in H_2^{\hat{x}}$. Hence $H_2^{\hat{x}}$ is a subgroup of $(G_2,+)$. Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{f}_{W_{n_0}}(\hat{x},y_1+y_2) &\overset{(a)}{=} \hat{f}_{W_{n_0+1}}(\hat{x},y_1+y_2) = \hat{f}_{W_{n_0}^-}(\hat{x},y_1+y_2)\\ &\overset{(b)}{=} \hat{f}_{W_{n_0}}(\hat{x},y_1)\cdot\hat{f}_{W_{n_0}}(\hat{x},y_2),\end{aligned}$$

  where (a) follows from corollary 1 and (b) follows from Proposition 3. Therefore the mapping $y \to \hat{f}_{W_{n_0}}(\hat{x},y)$ is a group homomorphism from $(H_2^{\hat{x}},+)$ to $(\mathbb{T},\cdot)$.

We conclude that $\hat{f}_{W_{n_0}}$ (which is an extension of $\hat{f}_W$) is pseudo quadratic. ■

Proposition 5 shows that if polarization $*$-preserves I_1 for W then W must be polarization compatible with respect to the first user.

For the sake of simplicity and brevity, we will write “polarization compatible” to denote “polarization compatible with respect to the first user”.

C. Sufficient condition

In this subsection, we show that polarization compatibility is a sufficient condition for the $*$-preservability of I_1.

Lemma 14. *If $W : G_1 \times G_2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ is polarization compatible then I_1 is preserved for W.*

Proof: Let $F : D \to \mathbb{T}$ be the pseudo quadratic function of Definition 9. Suppose that $y_1, y_2, y_1', y_2' \in G_2$ and $z_1, z_2 \in \mathcal{Z}$ satisfy:

- $y_1 - y_2 = y_1' - y_2'$.
- $y_1, y_1' \in \mathrm{Y}^{z_1}(W)$ and $y_2, y_2' \in \mathrm{Y}^{z_2}(W)$.

For every $\hat{x} \in G_1$, we have:

- If $(\hat{x}, z_1) \notin \hat{\mathrm{X}}\mathrm{Z}(W)$ then $\hat{p}_{y_1,z_1}(\hat{x}) = 0$ and $\hat{p}_{y_1',z_1}(\hat{x}) = 0$, so
$$\hat{p}_{y_1,z_1}(\hat{x})\hat{p}_{y_2,z_2}(\hat{x})^* = \hat{p}_{y_1',z_1}(\hat{x})\hat{p}_{y_2',z_2}(\hat{x})^* = 0.$$
- If $(\hat{x}, z_2) \notin \hat{\mathrm{X}}\mathrm{Z}(W)$ then $\hat{p}_{y_2,z_2}(\hat{x}) = 0$ and $\hat{p}_{y_2',z_2}(\hat{x}) = 0$, so
$$\hat{p}_{y_1,z_1}(\hat{x})\hat{p}_{y_2,z_2}(\hat{x})^* = \hat{p}_{y_1',z_1}(\hat{x})\hat{p}_{y_2',z_2}(\hat{x})^* = 0.$$
- If $(\hat{x}, z_1) \in \hat{\mathrm{X}}\mathrm{Z}(W)$ and $(\hat{x}, z_2) \in \hat{\mathrm{X}}\mathrm{Z}(W)$, then
$$\hat{p}_{y_1,z_1}(\hat{x})\hat{p}_{y_2,z_2}(\hat{x})^* = \hat{p}_{y_1',z_1}(\hat{x})F(\hat{x}, y_1 - y_1')\hat{p}_{y_2',z_2}(\hat{x})^* F(\hat{x}, y_2 - y_2')^* \overset{(a)}{=} \hat{p}_{y_1',z_1}(\hat{x})\hat{p}_{y_2',z_2}(\hat{x})^*,$$
where (a) follows from the fact that $y_1 - y_1' = y_2 - y_2'$ and so $F(\hat{x}, y_1 - y_1')F(\hat{x}, y_2 - y_2')^* = |F(\hat{x}, y_1 - y_1')|^2 = 1$.

Therefore, we have $\hat{p}_{y_1,z_1}(\hat{x})\hat{p}_{y_2,z_2}(\hat{x})^* = \hat{p}_{y_1',z_1}(\hat{x})\hat{p}_{y_2',z_2}(\hat{x})^*$ for all $\hat{x} \in G_1$. Lemma 2 now implies that I_1 is preserved for W. ■

Lemma 15. *If $W : G_1 \times G_2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ is polarization compatible then W^- is also polarization compatible.*

Proof: Let $U_1, U_2, V_1, V_2, X_1, X_2, Y_1, Y_2, Z_1, Z_2$ be as in Remark 1. Let $F : D \to \mathbb{T}$ be the pseudo quadratic function of Definition 9.

Let $(\hat{u}_1, v) \in \mathrm{D}(W^-)$. There exists $z^- = (z_1, z_2) \in \mathcal{Z}^2$ such that $\hat{u}_1 \in \hat{\mathrm{X}}^{z^-}(W^-)$ and $v \in \Delta\mathrm{Y}^{z^-}(W^-)$. We have:

- Since $\hat{u}_1 \in \hat{\mathrm{X}}^{z^-}(W^-)$, there exists $v_1 \in \mathrm{Y}^{z^-}(W^-)$ such that $\hat{p}_{v_1,z^-,W^-}(\hat{u}_1) \neq 0$. From (13), we have:
$$\hat{p}_{v_1,z^-,W^-}(\hat{u}_1) = \sum_{\substack{v_2 \in \mathrm{Y}^{z_2}(W): \\ v_1 + v_2 \in \mathrm{Y}^{z_1}(W)}} \frac{\mathbb{P}_{Y_1|Z_1}(v_1 + v_2|z_1)\mathbb{P}_{Y_2|Z_2}(v_2|z_2)}{\mathbb{P}_{V_1|Z_1,Z_2}(v_1|z_1, z_2)} \hat{p}_{v_1+v_2,z_1}(\hat{u}_1) \cdot \hat{p}_{v_2,z_2}(\hat{u}_1)^*.$$
Since $\hat{p}_{v_1,z^-,W^-}(\hat{u}_1) \neq 0$, the terms in the above sum cannot all be zero. Therefore, there exists $v_2 \in \mathrm{Y}^{z_1}(W)$ such that $v_1 + v_2 \in \mathrm{Y}^{z_2}(W)$, $\hat{p}_{v_1+v_2,z_1}(\hat{u}_1) \neq 0$ and $\hat{p}_{v_2,z_2}(\hat{u}_1) \neq 0$. Hence, $\hat{u}_1 \in \hat{\mathrm{X}}^{z_1}(W)$ and $\hat{u}_1 \in \hat{\mathrm{X}}^{z_2}(W)$.
- From Lemma 8 we have $\mathrm{Y}^{z^-}(W^-) = \mathrm{Y}^{z_1}(W) - \mathrm{Y}^{z_2}(W)$ which implies that $\Delta\mathrm{Y}^{z^-}(W^-) = \Delta\mathrm{Y}^{z_1}(W) - \Delta\mathrm{Y}^{z_2}(W)$. Now since $v \in \Delta\mathrm{Y}^{z^-}(W^-)$, there exists $y_1 \in \Delta\mathrm{Y}^{z_1}(W)$ and $y_2 \in \Delta\mathrm{Y}^{z_2}(W)$ such that $v = y_1 - y_2$.

We conclude that
$$(\hat{u}_1, y_1) \in \hat{\mathrm{X}}^{z_1}(W) \times \Delta\mathrm{Y}^{z_1}(W) = \mathrm{D}^{z_1}(W) \subset \mathrm{D}(W) \subset D,$$
and
$$(\hat{u}_1, y_2) \in \hat{\mathrm{X}}^{z_2}(W) \times \Delta\mathrm{Y}^{z_2}(W) = \mathrm{D}^{z_2}(W) \subset \mathrm{D}(W) \subset D.$$

Therefore, $(\hat{u}_1, v) = (\hat{u}_1, y_1 - y_2) \in D$ since D is a pseudo quadratic domain. Since this is true for every $(\hat{u}_1, v) \in \mathrm{D}(W^-)$, we conclude that $\mathrm{D}(W^-) \subset D$.

Now let $(\hat{u}_1, z^-) \in \hat{\mathrm{X}}\mathrm{Z}(W^-)$ (where $z^- = (z_1, z_2) \in \mathscr{Z}^2$). We have shown that $\hat{u}_1 \in \hat{\mathrm{X}}^{z_1}(W)$ and $\hat{u}_1 \in \hat{\mathrm{X}}^{z_2}(W)$ and so $(\hat{u}_1, z_1) \in \hat{\mathrm{X}}\mathrm{Z}(W)$ and $(\hat{u}_1, z_2) \in \hat{\mathrm{X}}\mathrm{Z}(W)$. Fix $y_1 \in \mathrm{Y}^{z_1}(W)$ and $y_2 \in \mathrm{Y}^{z_2}(W)$. For every $v_1' \in \mathrm{Y}^{z^-}(W^-)$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\hat{p}_{v_1',z^-,W^-}(\hat{u}_1)\\
&\quad= \sum_{\substack{v_2' \in \mathrm{Y}^{z_2}(W):\\ v_1'+v_2' \in \mathrm{Y}^{z_1}(W)}} \frac{\mathbb{P}_{Y_1|Z_1}(v_1'+v_2'|z_1)\mathbb{P}_{Y_2|Z_2}(v_2'|z_2)}{\mathbb{P}_{V_1|Z_1,Z_2}(v_1'|z_1,z_2)} \hat{p}_{v_1'+v_2',z_1}(\hat{u}_1) \cdot \hat{p}_{v_2',z_2}(\hat{u}_1)^*\\
&\quad\overset{(a)}{=} \sum_{\substack{v_2' \in \mathrm{Y}^{z_2}(W):\\ v_1'+v_2' \in \mathrm{Y}^{z_1}(W)}} \frac{\mathbb{P}_{Y_1|Z_1}(v_1'+v_2'|z_1)\mathbb{P}_{Y_2|Z_2}(v_2'|z_2)}{\mathbb{P}_{V_1|Z_1,Z_2}(v_1'|z_1,z_2)} \hat{p}_{y_1,z_1}(\hat{u}_1) \cdot F(\hat{u}_1, v_1'+v_2'-y_1) \cdot \frac{\hat{p}_{y_2,z_2}(\hat{u}_1)^*}{F(\hat{u}_1, v_2'-y_2)}\\
&\quad\overset{(b)}{=} \hat{p}_{y_1,z_1}(\hat{u}_1) \cdot \hat{p}_{y_2,z_2}(\hat{u}_1)^* \sum_{\substack{v_2' \in \mathrm{Y}^{z_2}(W):\\ v_1'+v_2' \in \mathrm{Y}^{z_1}(W)}} \frac{\mathbb{P}_{Y_1|Z_1}(v_1'+v_2'|z_1)\mathbb{P}_{Y_2|Z_2}(v_2'|z_2)}{\mathbb{P}_{V_1|Z_1,Z_2}(v_1'|z_1,z_2)} F(\hat{u}_1, v_1'+v_2'-y_1-v_2'+y_2)\\
&\quad= \hat{p}_{y_1,z_1}(\hat{u}_1) \cdot \hat{p}_{y_2,z_2}(\hat{u}_1)^* \cdot F(\hat{u}_1, v_1'-y_1+y_2) \sum_{\substack{v_2' \in \mathrm{Y}^{z_2}(W):\\ v_1'+v_2' \in \mathrm{Y}^{z_1}(W)}} \frac{\mathbb{P}_{Y_1|Z_1}(v_1'+v_2'|z_1)\mathbb{P}_{Y_2|Z_2}(v_2'|z_2)}{\mathbb{P}_{V_1|Z_1,Z_2}(v_1'|z_1,z_2)}\\
&\quad= \hat{p}_{y_1,z_1}(\hat{u}_1) \cdot \hat{p}_{y_2,z_2}(\hat{u}_1)^* \cdot F(\hat{u}_1, v_1'-y_1+y_2),
\end{aligned}$$

where (a) follows from the polarization compatibility of W and from the fact that $F(\hat{u}_1, v_2'-y_2) \in \mathbb{T}$ which implies that $F(\hat{u}_1, v_2'-y_2)^* = \dfrac{1}{F(\hat{u}_1, v_2'-y_2)}$. (b) follows from the fact that the mapping $y \to F(\hat{u}_1, y)$ is a group homomorphism from $(H_2^{\hat{u}_1}(D), +)$ to $(\mathbb{T}, \cdot)$. Therefore, for every $v_1', v_1'' \in \mathrm{Y}^{z^-}(W^-)$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{p}_{v_1',z^-,W^-}(\hat{u}_1) &= \hat{p}_{y_1,z_1}(\hat{u}_1) \cdot \hat{p}_{y_2,z_2}(\hat{u}_1)^* \cdot F(\hat{u}_1, v_1'-y_1+y_2)\\
&= \hat{p}_{y_1,z_1}(\hat{u}_1) \cdot \hat{p}_{y_2,z_2}(\hat{u}_1)^* \cdot F(\hat{u}_1, v_1''-y_1+y_2+v_1'-v_1'')\\
&= \hat{p}_{y_1,z_1}(\hat{u}_1) \cdot \hat{p}_{y_2,z_2}(\hat{u}_1)^* \cdot F(\hat{u}_1, v_1''-y_1+y_2) \cdot F(\hat{u}_1, v_1'-v_1'')\\
&= \hat{p}_{v_1'',z^-,W^-}(\hat{u}_1) \cdot F(\hat{u}_1, v_1'-v_1'').
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\hat{p}_{v_1',z^-,W^-}(\hat{u}_1) = F(\hat{u}_1, v_1'-v_1'') \cdot \hat{p}_{v_1'',z^-,W^-}(\hat{u}_1)$. We conclude that W^- is polarization compatible. ■

Lemma 16. *If $W : G_1 \times G_2 \longrightarrow \mathscr{Z}$ is polarization compatible then W^+ is also polarization compatible.*

Proof: Let $U_1, U_2, V_1, V_2, X_1, X_2, Y_1, Y_2, Z_1, Z_2$ be as in Remark 1. Let $F : D \to \mathbb{T}$ be the pseudo quadratic function of Definition 9.

Let $(\hat{u}_2, v) \in \mathrm{D}(W^+)$. There exist $z^+ = (z_1, z_2, u_1, v_1) \in \mathscr{Z}^+$ such that $\hat{u}_2 \in \hat{\mathrm{X}}^{z^+}(W^+)$ and $v \in \Delta\mathrm{Y}^{z^+}(W^+)$. We have:

- Since $\hat{u}_2 \in \hat{\mathrm{X}}^{z^+}(W^+)$, there exists $v_2 \in \mathrm{Y}^{z^+}(W^+)$ such that $\hat{p}_{v_2,z^+}(\hat{u}_2) \neq 0$. From (15) we have
$$\hat{p}_{v_2,z_1,z_2,u_1,v_1,W^+}(\hat{u}_2) = \sum_{\hat{u}_2' \in G_1} \frac{\hat{p}_{v_1+v_2,z_1}(\hat{u}_2') \cdot \hat{p}_{v_2,z_2}(\hat{u}_2 - \hat{u}_2')}{|G_1|\alpha(u_1, z_1, z_2, v_1, v_2)} e^{j2\pi\langle \hat{u}_2', u_1\rangle},$$
Since $\hat{p}_{v_2,z_1,z_2,u_1,v_1,W^+}(\hat{u}_2) \neq 0$, there must exist $\hat{u}_2' \in G_1$ such that $\hat{p}_{v_1+v_2,z_1}(\hat{u}_2') \neq 0$ and $\hat{p}_{v_2,z_2}(\hat{u}_2 - \hat{u}_2') \neq 0$. Therefore, $\hat{u}_2' \in \hat{\mathrm{X}}^{z_1}(W)$ and $(\hat{u}_2 - \hat{u}_2') \in \hat{\mathrm{X}}^{z_2}(W)$.
- Since $v \in \Delta\mathrm{Y}^{z^+}(W^+)$, there exist $v_2', v_2'' \in \mathrm{Y}^{z^+}(W^+)$ such that $v = v_2' - v_2''$. Now Lemma 11 implies that $v_1 + v_2' \in \mathrm{Y}^{z_1}(W)$, $v_2' \in \mathrm{Y}^{z_2}(W)$, $v_1 + v_2'' \in \mathrm{Y}^{z_1}(W)$ and $v_2'' \in \mathrm{Y}^{z_2}(W)$. Therefore, $v = (v_1 + v_2') - (v_1 + v_2'') \in \Delta\mathrm{Y}^{z_1}(W)$ and $v = v_2' - v_2'' \in \Delta\mathrm{Y}^{z_2}(W)$.

We conclude that

$$(\hat{u}_2', v) \in \hat{\mathrm{X}}^{z_1}(W) \times \Delta\mathrm{Y}^{z_1}(W) = \mathrm{D}^{z_1}(W) \subset \mathrm{D}(W) \subset D$$

and

$$(\hat{u}_2 - \hat{u}_2', v) \in \hat{\mathrm{X}}^{z_2}(W) \times \Delta\mathrm{Y}^{z_2}(W) = \mathrm{D}^{z_2}(W) \subset \mathrm{D}(W) \subset D.$$

Now since D is a pseudo quadratic domain, we have $(\hat{u}_2, v) = \big(\hat{u}_2' + (\hat{u}_2 - \hat{u}_2'), v\big) \in D$. We conclude that $\mathrm{D}(W^+) \subset D$.

Now let $(\hat{u}_2, z^+) \in \hat{\mathrm{X}}\mathrm{Z}(W^+)$, where $z^+ = (z_1, z_2, u_1, v_1) \in \mathcal{Z}^+$. For every $v_2', v_2'' \in \mathrm{Y}^{z^+}(W^+)$, we have $v_1 + v_2' \in \mathrm{Y}^{z_1}(W)$, $v_2' \in \mathrm{Y}^{z_2}(W)$, $v_1 + v_2'' \in \mathrm{Y}^{z_1}(W)$ and $v_2'' \in \mathrm{Y}^{z_2}(W)$ from Lemma 11. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{p}_{v_2', z^+, W^+}(\hat{u}_2) = \hat{p}_{v_2', z_1, z_2, u_1, v_1, W^+}(\hat{u}_2) &= \sum_{\hat{u}_2' \in G_1} \frac{\hat{p}_{v_1+v_2', z_1}(\hat{u}_2') \cdot \hat{p}_{v_2', z_2}(\hat{u}_2 - \hat{u}_2')}{|G_1| \alpha(u_1, z_1, z_2, v_1, v_2')} e^{j2\pi\langle \hat{u}_2', u_1 \rangle} \\
&\overset{(a)}{=} \sum_{\substack{\hat{u}_2' \in G_1: \\ \hat{u}_2' \in \hat{\mathrm{X}}^{z_1}(W), \\ \hat{u}_2 - \hat{u}_2' \in \hat{\mathrm{X}}^{z_2}(W)}} \frac{\hat{p}_{v_1+v_2', z_1}(\hat{u}_2') \cdot \hat{p}_{v_2', z_2}(\hat{u}_2 - \hat{u}_2')}{|G_1| \alpha(u_1, z_1, z_2, v_1, v_2')} e^{j2\pi\langle \hat{u}_2', u_1 \rangle} \\
&\overset{(b)}{=} \sum_{\substack{\hat{u}_2' \in G_1: \\ \hat{u}_2' \in \hat{\mathrm{X}}^{z_1}(W), \\ \hat{u}_2 - \hat{u}_2' \in \hat{\mathrm{X}}^{z_2}(W)}} \frac{\hat{p}_{v_1+v_2'', z_1}(\hat{u}_2') F(\hat{u}_2', v_2' - v_2'') \cdot \hat{p}_{v_2'', z_2}(\hat{u}_2 - \hat{u}_2') F(\hat{u}_2 - \hat{u}_2', v_2' - v_2'')}{|G_1| \cdot \mathbb{P}_{U_1|Z_1, Z_2, V_1, V_2}(u_1 | z_1, z_2, v_1, v_2')} e^{j2\pi\langle \hat{u}_2', u_1 \rangle} \\
&\overset{(c)}{=} \sum_{\hat{u}_2' \in G_1} \frac{\hat{p}_{v_1+v_2'', z_1}(\hat{u}_2') \cdot \hat{p}_{v_2'', z_2}(\hat{u}_2 - \hat{u}_2')}{|G_1| \cdot \mathbb{P}_{U_1|Z_1, Z_2, V_1, V_2}(u_1 | z_1, z_2, v_1, v_2'')} F(\hat{u}_2' + \hat{u}_2 - \hat{u}_2', v_2' - v_2'') \cdot e^{j2\pi\langle \hat{u}_2', u_1 \rangle} \\
&= F(\hat{u}_2, v_2' - v_2'') \sum_{\hat{u}_2' \in G_1} \frac{\hat{p}_{v_1+v_2'', z_1}(\hat{u}_2') \cdot \hat{p}_{v_2'', z_2}(\hat{u}_2 - \hat{u}_2')}{|G_1| \cdot \mathbb{P}_{U_1|Z_1, Z_2, V_1, V_2}(u_1 | z_1, z_2, v_1, v_2'')} e^{j2\pi\langle \hat{u}_2', u_1 \rangle} \\
&= F(\hat{u}_2, v_2' - v_2'') \hat{p}_{v_2'', z_1, z_2, u_1, v_1, W^+}(\hat{u}_2) = F(\hat{u}_2, v_2' - v_2'') \hat{p}_{v_2'', z^+, W^+}(\hat{u}_2),
\end{aligned}$$

where (a) follows from the fact that $\hat{p}_{v_1+v_2', z_1}(\hat{u}_2') = 0$ if $\hat{u}_2' \notin \hat{\mathrm{X}}^{z_1}(W)$, and $\hat{p}_{v_2', z_2}(\hat{u}_2 - \hat{u}_2') = 0$ if $(\hat{u}_2 - \hat{u}_2') \notin \hat{\mathrm{X}}^{z_2}(W)$. (b) follows from the fact that W is polarization compatible. (c) follows from the fact that F is pseudo quadratic and the fact that U_1 is independent of V_2 conditionally on (Z_1, Z_2, V_1) (the polarization compatibility of W implies that I_1 is preserved for W by Lemma 14). Therefore, for every $v_2', v_2'' \in \mathrm{Y}^{z^+}(W^+)$, we have $\hat{p}_{v_2', z^+, W^+}(\hat{u}_2) = F(\hat{u}_2, v_2' - v_2'') \cdot \hat{p}_{v_2'', z^+, W^+}(\hat{u}_2)$. We conclude that W^+ is polarization compatible. ■

Proposition 6. *If W is polarization compatible then polarization $*$-preserves I_1 for W.*

Proof: Suppose that W is polarization compatible. Using Lemmas 15 and 16, we can show by induction that W^s is polarization compatible for every $s \in \{-,+\}^*$. Lemma 14 now implies that I_1 is preserved for W^s for every $s \in \{-,+\}^*$. By applying Lemma 1, we deduce that polarization $*$-preserves I_1 for W. ■

Propositions 5 and 6 show that polarization $*$-preserves I_1 for W if and only if W is polarization compatible. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

D. Special cases

The characterization found in Theorem 1 (i.e., polarization compatibility) takes a simple form in the special case where $G_1 = G_2 = \mathbb{F}_q$ for a prime q:

Proposition 7. *Let $W : \mathbb{F}_q \times \mathbb{F}_q \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ and $(X, Y) \xrightarrow{W} Z$. Polarization $*$-preserves I_1 for W if and only if there exists $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$ such that $I(X + aY; Y | Z) = 0$.*

Proof: If polarization $*$-preserves I_1 for W then W is polarization compatible. Let $F : D \to \mathbb{T}$ be the pseudo quadratic function of Definition 9. We have the following:

- If there exists $(\hat{x}, y) \in D$ such that $\hat{x} \neq 0$ and $y \neq 0$ then $D = \mathbb{F}_q \times \mathbb{F}_q$ since D is a pseudo quadratic domain and since q is prime.
- If for all $(\hat{x}, y) \in D$ we have either $\hat{x} = 0$ or $y = 0$, then $F(\hat{x}, y) = 1$ for every $(\hat{x}, y) \in D$. Hence the mapping $F' : \mathbb{F}_q \times \mathbb{F}_q \to \mathbb{T}$ defined as $F'(\hat{x}, y) = 1$ is an extension of F which preserves the pseudo quadratic property.

Therefore, we can assume without loss of generality that $D = \mathbb{F}_q \times \mathbb{F}_q$. Now since $F(1,1)^q = F(1, q \cdot 1) = F(1,0) = 1$, $F(1,1)$ is a q^{th} root of unity. Therefore, there exists $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$ such that $F(1,1) = e^{j2\pi \frac{a}{q}}$.

Fix $z \in \mathcal{Z}$ and $y_1, y_2 \in \mathrm{Y}^z(W)$. For every $\hat{x} \in \mathbb{F}_q$ we have

$$\hat{p}_{y_1,z}(\hat{x}) = \hat{p}_{y_2,z}(\hat{x}) \cdot F(\hat{x}, y_1 - y_2) = \hat{p}_{y_2,z}(\hat{x}) \cdot e^{j2\pi a \frac{(y_1 - y_2)\hat{x}}{q}},$$

which is equivalent to say that for every $x' \in \mathbb{F}_q$, we have $p_{y_1,z}(x') = p_{y_2,z}(x' + a(y_1 - y_2))$, i.e.,

$$\mathbb{P}_{X|Y,Z}(x'|y_1, z) = \mathbb{P}_{X|Y,Z}(x' + a(y_1 - y_2)|y_2, z). \tag{23}$$

By applying the change of variable $x' = x - ay_1$, we can see that (23) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}_{X+aY|Y,Z}(x|y_1, z) &= \mathbb{P}_{X|Y,Z}(x - ay_1|y_1, z) = \mathbb{P}_{X|Y,Z}(x'|y_1, z)\\ &= \mathbb{P}_{X|Y,Z}(x' + a(y_1 - y_2)|y_2, z) = \mathbb{P}_{X|Y,Z}(x - ay_1 + a(y_1 - y_2)|y_2, z)\\ &= \mathbb{P}_{X|Y,Z}(x - ay_2|y_2, z) = \mathbb{P}_{X+aY|Y,Z}(x|y_2, z).\end{aligned}$$

We conclude that polarization $*$-preserves I_1 for W if and only if $X + aY$ is independent of Y conditionally on Z, i.e., $I(X + aY; Y|Z) = 0$. ■

Corollary 3. *Polarization $*$-preserves the symmetric capacity region for the binary adder channel.*

Proof: Let X and Y be two independent uniform random variables in $\{0, 1\}$, and let $Z = X + Y \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ (where $+$ here denotes addition in $\mathbb{R}$). It is easy to check that $I(X \oplus Y; Y|Z) = I(X \oplus Y; X|Z) = 0$. Therefore, polarization $*$-preserves I_1 and I_2 for W. We conclude that polarization $*$-preserves the symmetric capacity region for W. ■

Remark 4. *It may seem promising to try to generalize Proposition 7 to the case where $G_1 = \mathbb{F}_q^k$ and $G_2 = \mathbb{F}_q^l$ by considering the condition $I(X + AY; Y|Z) = 0$ for some matrix $A \in \mathbb{F}_q^{k \times l}$. It turns out that this condition is sufficient for the $*$-preservability of I_1 but it is not necessary.*

Proposition 8. *If $|G_1|$ and $|G_2|$ are co-prime and $(X, Y) \xrightarrow{W} Z$, then polarization $*$-preserves I_1 for W if and only if $I(X; Y|Z) = 0$ (i.e., if and only if the dominant face of $\mathcal{J}(W)$ is a single point).*

Proof: It is easy to check that if $|G_1|$ and $|G_2|$ are co-prime, then every pseudo-quadratic function $f : D \to \mathbb{T}$ must be equal to 1, i.e., $f(\hat{x}, y) = 1$ for all $(\hat{x}, y) \in D$. Therefore, polarization $*$-preserves I_1 for W if and only if $\hat{p}_{y_1,z}(\hat{x}) = \hat{p}_{y_2,z}(\hat{x})$ for every $(\hat{x}, z) \in \hat{\mathrm{X}}\mathrm{Z}(W)$ and every $y_1, y_2 \in \mathrm{Y}^z(W)$.

Now since $\hat{p}_{y_1,z}(\hat{x}) = \hat{p}_{y_2,z}(\hat{x}) = 0$ for every $\hat{x} \notin \hat{\mathrm{X}}^z(W)$ and every $y_1, y_2 \in \mathrm{Y}^z(W)$, we conclude that polarization $*$-preserves I_1 for W if and only if $\hat{p}_{y_1,z}(\hat{x}) = \hat{p}_{y_2,z}(\hat{x})$ for every $(\hat{x}, z) \in G_1 \times \mathcal{Z}$ and every $y_1, y_2 \in \mathrm{Y}^z(W)$. This is equivalent to say that $p_{y_1,z}(x) = \hat{p}_{y_2,z}(x)$ for every $(x, z) \in G_1 \times \mathcal{Z}$ and every $y_1, y_2 \in \mathrm{Y}^z(W)$. This just means that X and Y are independent conditionally on Z. ■

IV. GENERALIZATION TO MULTIPLE USER MACs

Definition 11. *Let $W : G_1 \times \ldots \times G_m \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}$. For every $S \subset \{1, \ldots, m\}$, we define the two-user MAC $W_S : G_S \times G_{S^c} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ as $W_S(y|x_S, x_{S^c}) = W(y|x_1, \ldots, x_m)$.*

Remark 5. *It is easy to see that for every $s \in \{-, +\}^*$ and every $S \subset \{1, \ldots, m\}$, we have $(W^s)_S = (W_S)^s$. Therefore, polarization $*$-preserves I_S for W if and only if polarization $*$-preserves I_1 for W_S.*

Theorem 2. *Let $W : G_1 \times \ldots \times G_m \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}$. Polarization $*$-preserves I_S for W if and only if W_S is polarization compatible.*

Proof: Direct corollary of Theorem 1 and Remark 5. ■

V. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

The necessary and sufficient condition that we provided is a single letter characterization: the mapping $\hat{f}_W$ can be directly computed using the transition probabilities of W. Moreover, since the number of pseudo quadratic functions is finite, checking whether $\hat{f}_W$ is extendable to a pseudo quadratic function can be accomplished in a finite number of computations.

REFERENCES

[1] E. Arıkan, “Channel polarization: A method for constructing capacity-achieving codes for symmetric binary-input memoryless channels,” *Information Theory, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 55, no. 7, pp. 3051 –3073, 2009.

[2] E. Arıkan and E. Telatar, “On the rate of channel polarization,” in *Information Theory, 2009. ISIT 2009. IEEE International Symposium on*, 28 2009.

[3] E. Şaşoğlu, E. Telatar, and E. Arıkan, “Polarization for arbitrary discrete memoryless channels,” in *Information Theory Workshop, 2009. ITW 2009. IEEE*, 2009, pp. 144 –148.

[4] W. Park and A. Barg, “Polar codes for q-ary channels,,” *Information Theory, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 59, no. 2, pp. 955–969, 2013.

[5] A. Sahebi and S. Pradhan, “Multilevel polarization of polar codes over arbitrary discrete memoryless channels,” in *Communication, Control, and Computing (Allerton), 2011 49th Annual Allerton Conference on*, 2011, pp. 1718–1725.

[6] E. Şaşoğlu, “Polar codes for discrete alphabets,” in *Information Theory Proceedings (ISIT), 2012 IEEE International Symposium on*, 2012, pp. 2137–2141.

[7] R. Nasser and E. Telatar, “Polarization theorems for arbitrary DMCs,” in *Information Theory Proceedings (ISIT), 2013 IEEE International Symposium on*, 2013, pp. 1297–1301.

[8] ——, “Polar codes for arbitrary DMCs and arbitrary MACs,” *CoRR*, vol. abs/1311.3123, 2013. [Online]. Available: http://arxiv.org/abs/1311.3123

[9] E. Şaşoğlu, E. Telatar, and E. Yeh, “Polar codes for the two-user multiple-access channel,” *CoRR*, vol. abs/1006.4255, 2010. [Online]. Available: http://arxiv.org/abs/1006.4255

[10] E. Abbe and E. Telatar, “Polar codes for the -user multiple access channel,” *Information Theory, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 58, no. 8, pp. 5437 –5448, aug. 2012.

[11] E. Arikan, “Polar coding for the slepian-wolf problem based on monotone chain rules,” in *Information Theory Proceedings (ISIT), 2012 IEEE International Symposium on*, July 2012, pp. 566–570.

[12] B. Rimoldi and R. Urbanke, “A rate-splitting approach to the gaussian multiple-access channel,” *Information Theory, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 42, no. 2, pp. 364–375, Mar 1996.

[13] A. Grant, B. Rimoldi, R. Urbanke, and P. Whiting, “Rate-splitting multiple access for discrete memoryless channels,” *Information Theory, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 47, no. 3, pp. 873–890, Mar 2001.

[14] R. Nasser, “Ergodic theory meets polarization. I: An ergodic theory for binary operations,” *CoRR*, vol. abs/1406.2943, 2014. [Online]. Available: http://arxiv.org/abs/1406.2943

[15] ——, “Ergodic theory meets polarization. II: A foundation of polarization theory,” *CoRR*, vol. abs/1406.2949, 2014. [Online]. Available: http://arxiv.org/abs/1406.2949